Adopted Levels, Gammas

S(n)=22342 *57*; S(p)=2659 *29*; Q(α)=−8852 *34* 2012Wa38

The β^+ decay of ^{20}Mg populates ^{20}Na*(984) for 69.7 % *12* of the decays (1995Pi03). The remaining 30.3% of decays populate ^{20}Na levels that proton decay to states in ^{19}Ne.

The A=20 T=2 mass multiplet is analyzed via the IMME in (1974Ce05, 1974Ro17, 1976Mi01, 1976Tr03, 1979Mo02, 1981Ay01, 1984An18, 2007Ga38, 2012Fo13, 2014Ga20).

For theoretical analysis of the level properties see (1978Gu10, 1980Wi18, 1984Ha14, 1987Po01, 1990Br26, 1996Ch04, 1996Gr21, 1996Re03, 1996Re10, 1997Ot01, 1997Pa38, 1997Te19, 1998De43, 1998La02, 1999Sh32, 1999Si13, 2000St04, 2001La06, 2001Pi11, 2002Gu10, 2002Mi14, 2002Ro32, 2002Sc48, 2003Bh06, 2003Jh01, 2005Ch71, 2005Ma98, 2006Ma17, 2006Sa29, 2006Zh19, 2007Ma54, 2007Wa30, 2008Sc02, 2010Zh45, 2011Eb04, 2011Gu03, 2011Ro50, 2011Ya01, 2012Li11, 2012Po15, 2013Sc14, 2013Bh09, 2013Ho01, 2013Sc14, 2013Eb02, 2014Ga13, 2014To04, 2014Yu02).

^{20}Mg Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	^{3}He(^{20}Ne,^{20}Mg)	F	^{24}Mg(α,^{8}He)	K	Ni(^{36}Ar,^{20}Mg)
B	^{9}Be(^{22}Mg,^{20}Mgγ)	G	^{27}Al(^{20}Ne,^{20}Mg)	L	^{208}Pb(^{20}Mg,^{20}Mg′)
C	^{9}Be(^{24}Mg,^{20}Mg)	H	Si(p,^{20}Mg)		
D	^{12}C(^{20}Mg,^{20}Mg)	I	Ni(^{20}Ne,^{20}Mg)		
E	^{20}Ne(^{3}He,3n)	J	Ni(^{24}Mg,^{20}Mg)		

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0	0^+	93 ms *5*	ABCDEFGHIJKL	%β^+p=30.3 *12* (1995Pi03) There are five measurements of the ^{20}Mg lifetime. The result of (1981Ay01) is found to have no impact on a weighted average. The result of (1964Fl03) is also found to have no impact on a weighted average, but it is found in agreement with the lower measured values. The remaining values have no overlap in their reported values, indicating systematic errors are present. To resolve the issue, the uncertainties of the best four values are doubled and the weighted average $T_{1/2}$≈93 ms *5* is obtained. This value is in fair agreement with prior results and can be compared with $T_{1/2}$=90 ms *5* which is given in (2012Wa38) based mostly on the (1992Go10,1995Pi03) results.
1598 *10*	2^+		B L	T=2 J^π: From ^{20}Mg angular distribution in ^{208}Pb(^{20}Mg,^{20}Mg′).

$\gamma(^{20}Mg)$

E_i(level)	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ	E_f	J_f^π
1598	2^+	1598 *10*	100	0	0^+

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level



$^{20}_{12}Mg_8$

^{3}He(^{20}Ne,^{20}Mg) 2012Wa15

The authors measured protons from ^{20}Mg β-delayed proton decay with the aim of adding understanding to the known ^{20}Na*(2647) resonance and its participation in the astrophysically important ^{19}Ne(p,γ) reaction.

A beam of ^{20}Mg ions, produced by ^{3}He(^{20}Ne,^{20}Mg) reactions at the Texas A&M MARS facility, was implanted at the mid-thickness of a 45 μm segmented Si strip detector (24×24 strips). The detector was sandwiched between two thicker Si detectors. Events within the strip detector were rejected if either of the thicker detectors was correlated in time. Hence the array was sensitive to the low-energy light particles from decay in the strip detector.

The emphasis of the measurement was a search for evidence of ^{20}Na*(2647) decay to $^{19}Ne_{g.s.}$ with E_p=434 keV, as a result the full dataset is not analyzed.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)
0

^{9}Be(^{22}Mg,^{20}Mgγ) 2007Ga38

2007Ga38: Two-neutron removal from ^{22}Mg was studied.

A beam of ^{22}Mg, produced by fragmenting a ^{36}Ar beam on a thick ^{9}Be target, impinged in a 188 mg/cm^2 ^{9}Be foil at the S800 target position. The ^{20}Mg reaction products were detected in the focal plane of the spectrometer, while associated gamma-rays were detected using sixteen segmented HPGe detectors from the SeGa array that were positioned either at 37° or 90° with respect to the incident beam.

An Eγ= 1598 *10* transition was observed, which is associated with the first 2$^+$ state in ^{20}Mg. The Isobaric Mass Multiplet Equation (IMME) is discussed for the A=20 T=2 and Jpi=0$^+$ and 2$^+$ states.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	J$^\pi$	Comments
0	0$^+$	
1598 *10*	2$^+$	T=2 E(level): mirror state of first 2$^+$ state at 1674 in ^{20}O.

γ(^{20}Mg)

E$_i$(level)	J$_i^\pi$	E$_\gamma$	I$_\gamma$	E$_f$	J$_f^\pi$
1598	2$^+$	1598 *10*	100	0	0$^+$

^{9}Be(^{22}Mg,^{20}Mgγ) 2007Ga38

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level



$^{20}_{12}Mg_8$

^{9}Be(^{24}Mg,^{20}Mg) 1992Ku07,1992Ku24

1992Ku07,1992Ku24: States in ^{20}Na were studied by analyzing the β^+ decay of ^{20}Mg. A beam of ^{20}Mg ions was produced by fragmenting a 100 MeV/nucleon ^{24}Mg beam in a thick Be target. The ^{20}Mg beam was magnetically purified and stopped in the center of a stack of Si detectors. Each time a ^{20}Mg implantation was detected the beam was stopped for a 200 ms period so the decay could be measured.

Decay to various ^{20}Na states was observed with a lifetime $T_{1/2}$=114 ms *7*. 85% of detected events were connected with ^{20}Na decay, which implies %β^+p=15%.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$
0	114 ms *7*

^{12}C(^{20}Mg,^{20}Mg) 1996Ch24,1998Su07

1996Ch24,1997Su04,1998Su07: The ineraction cross section of A=20 nuclides on a ^{12}C target was measured at E≈950 MeV/nucleon. The beams were produced by fragmenting 1050 MeV/nucleon ^{36}Ar and ^{40}Ar beams on thick ^{nat}Be targets. The interaction cross sections were determined by measuring the transmission of beam particles through the GSI/FRS fragment separator with a reaction target placed at the F2 midstage of the device.

A Glauber model analysis of the ^{20}Mg σ_{int}=1150 mb *16* cross section suggests $R^{matter}_{r.m.s.}$=2.88 fm *4* or 2.91 fm *5*, depending on the theoretical assumptions (1998Su07). The charge changing cross sections to Na, Ne, F, O, N and C isotopes are found as σ=5 mb *8*, 123 mb *9*, 29 mb *9*, 127 mb *7*, 46 mb *5* and 146 mb *7*, respectively (1996Ch24). The overall analysis suggests $R^{matter}_{r.m.s.}$=2.90 fm *6* with a thin proton skin with thickness=0.50 fm *28*.

See theoretical analysis in (1997Ki22,1997Kn04,1997Su04,2001Oz04,2011Al11).

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	Comments
0	A Glauber model analysis of interaction cross sections for 950 MeV/nucleon A=20 isotopes suggests ^{20}Mg has a $R^{matter}_{r.m.s.}$=2.90 fm *6* and a thin proton skin with thickness=0.50 fm *28*.

^{20}Ne(^{3}He,3n) 1979Mo02,1981Ay01

1979Mo02,1980MOZM,1981Ay01: Decay of the ^{20}Mg nucleus was studied by producing ^{20}Mg nuclei using the the ^{20}Ne(^{3}He,3n) reaction. Decay from ^{20}Mg to ^{20}Na*(6570), followed by proton decay to ^{19}Ne*(0, 238) was observed and used to determine the mass excess of the first T=2 member of the A=20 multiplet in ^{20}Na. The corresponding β^+ delayed proton groups were found to have energies of E_p=4.16 MeV *5* and 3.95 MeV *6*. Coefficients of the IMME were analyzed.

The decay half-life $T_{1/2}$=95 ms *+80−50* was deduced.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$
0	95 ms *+80−50*

^{24}Mg(α,^{8}He) 1974Ro17

1974Ro17: The mass of ^{20}Mg was measured using by characterizing the ^{24}Mg(α,^{8}He) reaction at E(α)=156 MeV. The ^{8}He ejectiles were momentum analyzed using a low dispersion double focusing magnetic analyzer consisting of a dipole followed by a quadrupole doublet. At θ_{lab}=2° ^{8}He corresponding to ^{20}Mg production were observed with $\sigma\approx$7 nb/sr. The mass excess deduced was 17.74 MeV *21*, with most uncertainty attributed to target thickness and other systematic issues. An IMME comparison of the A=20 T=2 (isospin) multiplet is given. Measurements providing improved ^{8}He mass values, such as (1974Ce05), had an impact on the ^{20}Mg mass and improved the IMME comparison.

1976Tr03: The mass of ^{20}Mg was measured at E(α)=126.9 MeV using an ENGE spectrometer a θ_{lab}=5°. The ^{8}He recoils were observed with $\sigma\approx$3 nb/sr. The mass excess 17.57 MeV *3* was deduced, and A=20 T=2 multiplet states are compared.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)
0

^{27}Al(^{20}Ne,^{20}Mg) 1964Ma44

1964MA44: Al, Ni and Cu targets were bombarded with E=80 to 200 MeV ^{20}Ne beams with the aim of producing ^{20}Na activity, via p-n exchange reactions. The decay radiations and associated lifetimes of the produced activities were measured and analyzed. In the case of ^{20}Ne of the ^{27}Al target, the apparent lifetimes the strongest ^{20}Na radiations was observed to be longer than expected; the authors assumed that ^{20}Mg was being formed, which then decayed to ^{20}Na and caused the apparent increase in ^{20}Na lifetime. The lifetime $T_{1/2}$=620 ms *60* was deduced from the analysis. This compares very poorly with the present value of T≈90 ms.

A private communication with (1974Ro17) indicates the evidence for ^{20}Mg production was traced to a spurious instrumental effect.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$
0?	620 ms *60*

Si(p,^{20}Mg) 2014Ga20

2014Ga20: The mass of ^{20}Mg was measured using a Penning trap. Beams of ^{20,21}Mg ions were produced via 480 MeV proton spallation on a SiC target and separately transported to the TRIUMF/TITAN system. The cyclotron frequency was determined relative to a ^{23}Na reference. The mass excess of 17477.7 keV *18* was deduced, which compares relatively poorly with the value given in AME2012 (17559 keV *27*). In addition, the IMME parameters were discussed.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	Comments
0	The cyclotron frequency was determined relative to a ^{23}Na reference, and the mass excess of 17477.7 keV *18* was deduced.

Ni(^{20}Ne,^{20}Mg) 1964Fl03

1964FL03: An E_p=5 MeV β^+ delayed proton emitter was observed following E(^{20}Ne)=140 MeV bombardment of a 10 μm ^{nat}Ni target. Analysis of the $T_{1/2}$=85 ms *15* lifetime suggested this could be the first observation of ^{20}Mg. The nuclei ^{17}Ne and ^{20,21}Mg were listed as potential candidates of nuclei that could produce such radiations; the observed lifetime is in good acreement with the presently accepted ^{20}Mg value.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$
0	85 ms *15*

Ni(^{24}Mg,^{20}Mg) 1995Pi03

1995Pi05: States in ^{20}Na were studied by analyzing the β^+ decay of ^{20}Mg. A beam of ^{20}Mg ions was produced by fragmenting a 95 MeV/nucleon ^{24}Mg beam in $^{nat.}$Ni target. The ^{20}Mg beam was purified in the LISE3 spectrometer and implanted near the middle of a 300 μm thick Si Strip detector. The strip detector was surrounded by two 500 μm segmented Si β-ray detectors and three 70% HPGe detectors. Hence the delayed proton energy in the implantation detector could be correlated with β particles and delayed γ-rays. The coincidence data were analyzed to deduce the decay branches. The %β^+p=30.3 % *12* was deduced.

The half-life of ^{20}Mg was determined by analyzing the rate of two delayed protons, E_p=802 and 1675 keV. $T_{1/2}$=95 ms *3* is deduced and compared with prior results; the measurement (1992Go10) is suggested as having systematic errors.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	95 ms *3*	%β^+p=30.3 *12* (1995Pi03)

Ni(^{36}Ar,^{20}Mg) 1992Go10

1992Go10: The decay of ^{20}Mg was measured in a study aimed at resolving details of the ^{20}Na*(2645) state, which would decay by ≈450 keV proton emission. The ^{20}Mg ions were produced by fragmenting an 80 MeV/nucleon ^{36}Ar beam on a ^{nat}Ni target; the beam was then magnetically purified and implanted in a 50 μm Si detector that was part of a Si detector telescope constructed to be sensitive to low-energy β-delayed proton decays. Implantation of a ^{20}Mg ion in the telescope resulted in the beam being halted so the decay could be studied. By analyzing the rate of β-delayed α particles from the ^{20}Na daughter, one finds the β-delayed proton rate of (100-74(*7*))=26 % *7*, by comparing with the total number of implanted ^{20}Mg.

The ^{20}Mg lifetime was measured as $T_{1/2}$=82 ms *4*.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$
0	82 ms *4*

^{208}Pb(^{20}Mg,^{20}Mg′) 2008Iw04

2008Iw04: The Coulomb excitation of ^{20}Mg was studied. A beam of 58 MeV/nucleon ^{20}Mg ions, produced by fragmentation of a 135 MeV/nucleon ^{24}Mg beam on a Ni target, impinged on a 226 μg/cm^2 target. A set of PPACs determined the incident angle and event-by-event position on target, while the scattered ^{20}Mg ions were detected in an array of position sensitive ΔE-E Si strip detectors. An array of 68 NaI(Tl) scintillators surrounded the target.

A de-excitation γ-ray transition corresponding to E_γ=1.61 MeV *6* was observed in the Doppler corrected NaI energy spectrum. The angular distribution of associated ^{20}Mg scattered particles is consistent with l=2. There was no evidence of any other transitions populated in the inelastic scattering. The cross sections were measured on both Pb and ^{nat}C targets so the nuclear and Coulomb components could be analyzed.

^{20}Mg Levels

E(level)	J^π	L	σ (mb)	Comments
0	0^+			
1610 *60*	2^+	2	105 *10*	B(E2)↑=0.0177 *32* J^π: From $\sigma(\theta)$ distribution and DWBA analysis. β_2=0.44 *4*. Proton matrix element (M_p)=13.3 fm^2 *12*; M_p/M_n=2.51 *25*. σ (mb): The cross sections on Pb and ^{nat}C targets were measured as σ(Pb)=105 mb *10* and $\sigma(^{nat}C)$=20 mb *2*.

$\gamma(^{20}Mg)$

E_i(level)	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ	E_f	J_f^π
1610	2^+	1610 *60*	100	0	0^+

^{208}Pb(^{20}Mg,^{20}Mg′) 2008Iw04

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level



$^{20}_{12}Mg_8$

REFERENCES FOR A=20

1964FL03 G.N.Flerov, V.A.Karnaukhov, G.M.Ter-Akopyan et al. - Nucl.Phys. 60, 129(1964).
1964MA44 R.D.Macfarlane, A.Siivola - Nucl.Phys. 59, 168(1964).
1974CE05 J.Cerny, N.A.Jelley, D.L.Hendrie et al. - Phys.Rev. C10, 2654 (1974).
1974RO17 R.G.H.Robertson, S.Martin, W.R.Falk et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett. 32, 1207 (1974).
1976MI01 G.F.Millington, R.M.Hutcheon, J.R.Leslie et al. - Phys.Rev. C13, 879 (1976).
1976TR03 R.E.Tribble, J.D.Cossairt, R.A.Kenefick - Phys.Lett. 61B, 353 (1976).
1978GU10 K.Gul - J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 44, 353 (1978).
1979MO02 D.M.Moltz, J.Aysto, M.D.Cable et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett. 42, 43 (1979).
1980MOZM D.M.Moltz - Diss.Abst.Int. 41B, 192 (1980).
1980WI18 B.H.Wildenthal, W.Chung - Phys.Rev. C22, 2260 (1980).
1981AY01 J.Aysto, M.D.Cable, R.F.Parry et al. - Phys.Rev. C23, 879 (1981).
1984AN18 M.S.Antony, A.Pape - Phys.Rev. C30, 1286 (1984).
1984HA14 P.Halse, J.P.Elliott, J.A.Evans - Nucl.Phys. A417, 301 (1984).
1987PO01 A.Poves, J.Retamosa - Phys.Lett. 184B, 311 (1987).
1990BR26 B.A.Brown - Phys.Rev.Lett. 65, 2753 (1990).
1992GO10 J.Gorres, M.Wiescher, K.Scheller et al. - Phys.Rev. C46, R833 (1992).
1992KU07 S.Kubono, N.Ikeda, Y.Funatsu et al. - Phys.Rev. C46, 361 (1992).
1992KU24 S.Kubono, Y.Funatsu, N.Ikekda et al. - Nucl.Instrum.Methods Phys.Res. B70, 583 (1992).
1995PI03 A.Piechaczek, M.F.Mohar, R.Anne et al. - Nucl.Phys. A584, 509 (1995).
1995PI05 R.Piepenbring, K.V.Protasov, B.Silvestre-Brac - Nucl.Phys. A586, 396 (1995).
1996CH04 L.Chulkov, E.Roeckl, G.Kraus - Z.Phys. A353, 351 (1996).
1996CH24 L.Chulkov, G.Kraus, O.Bochkarev et al. - Nucl.Phys. A603, 219 (1996).
1996GR21 F.Grummer, B.Q.Chen, Z.Y.Ma et al. - Phys.Lett. 387B, 673 (1996).
1996RE03 Z.Ren, W.Mittig, B.Chen et al. - Z.Phys. A353, 363 (1996).
1996RE10 Z.Ren, Z.Y.Zhu, Y.H.Cai et al. - Phys.Lett. 380B, 241 (1996).
1997KI22 H.Kitagawa, N.Tajima, H.Sagawa - Z.Phys. A358, 381 (1997).
1997KN04 P.E.Knowles, G.A.Beer, G.R.Mason et al. - Phys.Rev. A56, 1970 (1997).
1997OT01 T.Otsuka - Nucl.Phys. A616, 406c (1997).
1997PA38 S.K.Patra, R.K.Gupta, W.Greiner - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E6, 641 (1997).
1997SU04 T.Suzuki, H.Geissel, O.Bochkarev et al. - Nucl.Phys. A616, 286c (1997).
1997TE19 J.Terasaki, H.Flocard, P.H.Heenen et al. - Acta Phys.Hung.N.S. 6, 201 (1997).
1998DE43 P.Descouvemont - Phys.Lett. 437B, 7 (1998).
1998LA02 G.A.Lalazissis, A.R.Farhan, M.M.Sharma - Nucl.Phys. A628, 221 (1998).
1998SU07 T.Suzuki, H.Geissel, O.Bochkarev et al. - Nucl.Phys. A630, 661 (1998).
1999SH32 R.Sherr, H.T.Fortune, B.A.Brown - Eur.Phys.J. A 5, 371 (1999).
1999SI13 T.Siiskonen, P.O.Lipas, J.Rikovska - Phys.Rev. C60, 034312 (1999).
2000ST04 M.V.Stoitsov, J.Dobaczewski, P.Ring et al. - Phys.Rev. C61, 034311 (2000).
2001LA06 G.A.Lalazissis, D.Vretenar, P.Ring - Phys.Rev. C63, 034305 (2001).
2001OZ04 A.Ozawa, T.Suzuki, I.Tanihata - Nucl.Phys. A693, 32 (2001).
2001PI11 S.Pittel, M.V.Stoitsov - Yad.Fiz. 64, No 6, 1130 (2001); Phys.Atomic Nuclei 64, 1055 (2001).
2002GU10 R.K.Gupta, S.Kumar, M.Balasubramaniam et al. - J.Phys.(London) G28, 699 (2002).
2002MI14 P.Mitra, G.Gangopadhyay, B.Malakar - Phys.Rev. C65, 034329 (2002).
2002RO32 R.Rodriguez-Guzman, J.L.Egido, L.M.Robledo - Nucl.Phys. A709, 201 (2002).
2002SC48 S.Schramm - Phys.Rev. C 66, 064310 (2002).
2003BH06 A.Bhagwat, Y.K.Gambhir - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E12, 725 (2003).
2003JH01 T.K.Jha, M.S.Mehta, S.K.Patra et al. - Pramana 61, 517 (2003).
2005CH71 J.-G.Chen, X.-Z.Cai, T.-T.Wang et al. - Chinese Physics 14, 2444 (2005).
2005MA98 H.Masui, T.Myo, K.Kato et al. - Eur.Phys.J. A 25, Supplement 1, 505 (2005).
2006MA17 H.Masui, K.Kato, K.Ikeda - Phys.Rev. C 73, 034318 (2006).
2006SA29 P.Saviankou, F.Grummer, E.Epelbaum et al. - Phys.Atomic Nuclei 69, 1119 (2006).
2006ZH19 Q.Zhi, Z.Ren - Phys.Lett. B 638, 166 (2006).
2007GA38 A.Gade, P.Adrich, D.Bazin et al. - Phys.Rev. C 76, 024317 (2007).
2007MA54 H.Masui, K.Kato, K.Ikdea - Nucl.Phys. A790, 303c (2007).
2007WA30 Z.Wang, Z.Ren - Nucl.Phys. A794, 47 (2007).
2008IW04 N.Iwasa, T.Motobayashi, S.Bishop et al. - Phys.Rev. C 78, 024306 (2008); Publishers's Note Phys.Rev. C 78, 029902 (2008).
2008SC02 N.Schunck, J.L.Egido - Phys.Rev. C 77, 011301 (2008).
2010ZH45 P.W.Zhao, Z.P.Li, J.M.Yao et al. - Phys.Rev. C 82, 054319 (2010).
2011AL11 G.D.Alkhazov, I.S.Novikov, Yu.M.Shabelski - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E20, 583 (2011).
2011EB04 S.Ebata, T.Nakatsukasa, K.Yabana - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 312, 092023 (2011).
2011GU03 Y.-Q.Guo, J.Song - Chin.Phys.C 35, 158 (2011).
2011RO50 X.Roca-Maza, X.Vinas, M.Centelles et al. - Phys.Rev. C 84, 054309 (2011); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 93, 069905 (2016).
2011YA01 J.M.Yao, H.Mei, H.Chen et al. - Phys.Rev. C 83, 014308 (2011).
2012FO13 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr, B.A.Brown - Phys.Rev. C 85, 054304 (2012).

REFERENCES FOR A=20(CONTINUED)

2012LI11	L.Li, J.Meng, P.Ring et al. - Phys.Rev. C 85, 024312 (2012).
2012PO15	A.Poves, E.Caurier, F.Nowacki et al. - Phys.Scr. T150, 014030 (2012).
2012WA15	J.P.Wallace, P.J.Woods, G.Lotay et al. - Phys.Lett. B 712, 59 (2012).
2012WA38	M.Wang, G.Audi, A.H.Wapstra et al. - Chin.Phys.C 36, 1603 (2012).
2013BH09	M.Bhuyan - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E22, 1350068 (2013).
2013EB02	A.A.Ebrahim - Phys.Atomic Nuclei 76, 435 (2013).
2013HO01	J.D.Holt, J.Menendez, A.Schwenk - Phys.Rev.Lett. 110, 022502 (2013).
2013SC14	A.Schwenk - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012009 (2013).
2014GA13	M.K.Gaidarov, P.Sarriguren, A.N.Antonov et al. - Phys.Rev. C 89, 064301 (2014).
2014GA20	A.T.Gallant, M.Brodeur, C.Andreoiu et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett. 113, 082501 (2014).
2014TO04	G.K.Tobin, M.C.Ferriss, K.D.Launey et al. - Phys.Rev. C 89, 034312 (2014).
2014YU02	C.Yuan, C.Qi, F.Xu et al. - Phys.Rev. C 89, 044327 (2014).