

Energy Levels of Light Nuclei $A = 10$

F. Ajzenberg-Selove^a and T. Lauritsen^b

^a *University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6396*

^b *California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California*

Abstract: An evaluation of $A = 5-24$ was published in *Nuclear Physics* 11 (1959), p. 1. This version of $A = 10$ differs from the published version in that we have corrected some errors discovered after the article went to press. Figures and introductory tables have been omitted from this manuscript. [Reference](#) key numbers have been changed to the TUNL/NNDC format.

(References closed December 01, 1958)

The original work of Fay Ajzenberg-Selove was supported by the US Department of Energy [DE-FG02-86ER40279]. Later modification by the TUNL Data Evaluation group was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, under: Contract No. DEFG05-88-ER40441 (North Carolina State University); Contract No. DEFG05-91-ER40619 (Duke University).

Table of Contents for $A = 10$

Below is a list of links for items found within the PDF document. Figures from this evaluation have been scanned in and are available on this website or via the link below.

A. Nuclides: [\$^{10}\text{Be}\$](#) , [\$^{10}\text{B}\$](#) , [\$^{10}\text{C}\$](#)

B. Tables of Recommended Level Energies:

[Table 10.1](#): Energy levels of ^{10}Be

[Table 10.4](#): Energy levels of ^{10}B

[Table 10.8](#): Energy levels of ^{10}C

C. [References](#)

D. Figures: [\$^{10}\text{Be}\$](#) , [\$^{10}\text{B}\$](#) , [\$^{10}\text{C}\$](#)

E. Erratum to the Publication: [PS](#) or [PDF](#)

¹⁰Be
(Fig. 12)

GENERAL:

Theory: See (1956KU1A, 1957FR1B, 1958FR1C).

1. ¹⁰Be(β^-)¹⁰B $Q_m = 0.556$

The weighted mean end-point energy is 0.556 ± 0.003 MeV (1951LI26). The mean half-life is $(2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^6$ y (1949HU19): $\log ft = 13.65$ (1951FE1A). The spectrum is of the D₂ type (1950WU1A).

2. (a) ⁷ Li(t, α) ⁶ He	$Q_m = 9.807$	$E_b = 17.246$
(b) ⁷ Li(t, 2n) ⁸ Be	$Q_m = 8.768$	
(c) ⁷ Li(t, n) ⁹ Be	$Q_m = 10.435$	
(d) ⁷ Li(t, n) ⁵ He + ⁴ He	$Q_m = 7.905$	

The neutron yield (elemental Li) at 0° exhibits two broad resonances, at $E_t = 0.84$ and 1.70 MeV. The angular distributions are not isotropic (1951CR1A). The cross section for reaction (a) has been measured for $E_t = 0.6$ to 2.3 MeV ($\theta = 90^\circ$ and 165°): both the yield of ⁶He(0) and ⁶He*(1.71) α -particles show a broad resonance at $E_t \approx 1.7$ MeV. At $E_t = 1.8$ MeV, $\theta = 90^\circ$, the differential cross section is 2.6 mb/sr for ⁶He(0) and 8 mb/sr for ⁶He*(1.71) (1957JA37).

At $E_t = 0.24$ MeV the ground state α -particles are distributed as $W(\theta_{c.m.}) = 1 - (0.66 \pm 0.06) \cos^2 \theta$, while those corresponding to the 1.7-MeV state of ⁶He are isotropic within 8%. The formation of the 1.7-MeV state is 8 times more probable than the formation of the ground state. These results indicate p-wave formation of the 0.84-MeV resonance and $J = 2^+$ for the 17.83-MeV state (1952DE1B, 1953CH1A, 1954AL38). See also ⁶He and (1956MA09).

3. ⁷Li(α , p)¹⁰Be $Q_m = -2.566$

At $E_\alpha = 31.5$ MeV, proton groups are observed leading to the ground and first excited state of ¹⁰Be (1956WA29).

4. ⁹Be(n, γ)¹⁰Be $Q_m = 6.812$

Table 10.1: Energy levels of ^{10}Be

E_x in ^{10}Be (MeV)	J^π	Γ (keV) or τ	Decay	Reactions
0	0^+	$\tau_{1/2} = (2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^6 \text{ y}$	β^-	1, 3, 4, 9, 12, 18
3.368 ± 0.009	2^+	$\tau_m < 3.0 \times 10^{-13} \text{ sec}$	γ	3, 4, 9
5.959 ± 0.009	1^-	sharp	γ	9
6.178 ± 0.009		sharp		9
6.262 ± 0.009	2^-	sharp	(γ)	9
7.37 ± 0.01	$3^{(+)}$	25 ± 4	n	5, 9
7.54 ± 0.01	2	8 ± 3	n	5, 9
9.27	$(^-)$	≈ 100	n	5, 9
(9.4)	(2^+)	broad	(n)	5, (8)
17.83	(2^+)		n, t, α	2
18.43			n, t, α	2

The thermal capture cross section is $10 \pm 1 \text{ mb}$ (1958HU18). In addition to the ground-state transition, a $3.41 \pm 0.06\text{-MeV}$ γ -ray is observed, attributed to a cascade through the 3.4-MeV state. The intensity of the cascade transition is ≈ 0.25 photon/capture (1953BA18), 0.27 photon/capture (1955GR1E). See also (1953WI1C).

5. $^9\text{Be}(n, n)^9\text{Be}$

$$E_b = 6.812$$

The total cross section is constant at $6.04 \pm 0.03 \text{ b}$ from 0.1 eV to 100 keV (1958HU18): the spin dependent scattering is $< 0.003 \text{ b}$ (1952PA1A).

In the region $E_n = 0$ to 18 MeV, three resonances are reported at 0.62, 0.81 and 2.73 MeV. The parameters of these resonances are exhibited in Table 10.2.

Angular distributions have been measured for $E_n = 0.54$ to 0.70 MeV by (1955WI25). The patterns are symmetric about 90° in this range, indicating absence of interference between resonance and potential scattering waves of opposite parity. The 0.62-MeV resonance has $J = 3$; a satisfactory fit to the angular distribution is obtained with the assumption of p-wave formation in channel spin 2, with s-wave potential scattering all in channel spin 1. It is observed that the assumption of spin-dependent potential scattering is at variance with observation at thermal energies (see also (1956LA1B)). The possibility of d-wave formation is not excluded. The cross section at the 0.81-MeV resonance is consistent with $J = 2$ (1955WI25). A comparison of reduced widths suggests a close correspondence between $^{10}\text{Be}^*(7.37)$, (7.54) and the two ^{10}B levels at 8.89 MeV (1956MA55).

Table 10.2: Resonances in ${}^9\text{Be}(n, n){}^9\text{Be}$

E_{res} (MeV)	${}^{10}\text{Be}^*$ (MeV)	J^π	l	Γ (keV)	σ (b)	θ_n^2 (%)	References
0.62 ± 0.01	7.37	3		30	3.7^{a}	1.7^{d}	(1951BO45)
		$3^{(+)}$	1, (2)	25 ± 4	4.35^{a}		(1955WI25)
					7.75^{b}		(1955HU1B, 1958HU18)
0.81 ± 0.01	7.54	> 0	≥ 1	≤ 11	$\approx 1.3^{\text{a}}$		(1951BO45, 1955HU1B)
		2	1, 2	8 ± 3	5.25^{b}	0.34^{e}	(1955WI25, 1958HU18)
2.73^{c}	9.27	$(-)^{\text{f}}$		100	$\approx 4^{\text{b}}$		(1951BO45)
(2.85)	(9.4)	$(2^+)^{\text{f}}$	(1)	(≈ 400)			(1951BO45)

^a Cross section above background.

^b Includes background.

^c The large cross section, peak asymmetry and angular distributions suggest two resonances, a sharp one at 2.73 MeV and a much broader one at ≈ 2.85 MeV (1951BO45, 1958FO46).

^d Assuming $l = 1$, $R = 4.3 \times 10^{-13}$ cm.

^e (1956MA55), assuming $l = 1$.

^f (1958FO46).

Differential elastic scattering cross sections have been measured in the non-resonant regions from $E_n = 0.7$ to 3.0 MeV (1957FO1B, 1958FO46) and analyzed in terms of phase shifts (1957FO1B). For $E_n = 1.0$ to 2.0 MeV, an appreciable p-wave contribution is observed (1958FO46).

The shape of the 2.73-MeV structure suggests that there may actually be two levels involved, at $E_p = 2.73$ MeV, $\Gamma \approx 0.1$ MeV, and a broad state at $E_p = 2.85$ MeV (1951BO45: see, however, the similar situation in ${}^{10}\text{B}$ (1959MA20)).

The elastic scattering in this region changes from being nearly symmetric at 2.4 MeV to being peaked forward at 2.9 MeV. This evidence also suggests two resonances and, together, with the ${}^9\text{Be}(n, \alpha){}^6\text{He}$ results of (1957ST95) probably identifies the broad 2.9-MeV resonance as formed by p-wave neutrons with $J = 2^+$ for ${}^{10}\text{Be}^*(9.4)$ (1958FO46). Polarization measurements at $E_p = 3.1$ MeV indicate interference between a broad $d_{3/2}$ resonance and $s_{1/2}$ hard-sphere scattering (1957MC1B). Other measurements of differential cross sections from $E_n = 0.06$ to 1.80 MeV are reported by (1956LA1B, 1957LA14), from 2.30 to 3.66 MeV by (1953ME1A), at 4.1 MeV by (1955WA27), 7.0 MeV by (1956BE32), and 14.2 MeV by (1957AN52) and (1958NA09). At the higher energies, the neutrons show strong optical-model effects.

The total cross section decreases from 2.14 b at 3.8 MeV to 1.7 b at 8.7 MeV (1955WA27, 1956BE1D, 1957BO13, 1958BR16, 1958MA22); see also (1958HU18). At $E_n = 12.7$ MeV, $\sigma_t = 1.60$ b (1953NE1A, 1955TA1B, 1958BR16) and at $E_n = 14.1$ MeV, $\sigma_t = 1.55$ b (1955TA1B), 1.49 ± 0.02 b (1954CO16), 1.53 ± 0.03 b (1952CO1B), 1.46 ± 0.03 b (1957KH1A), 1.51 ± 0.02

b (1958BR16). The cross section then decreases monotonically to 1.38 ± 0.03 b at $E_n = 18.0$ MeV (1954CO16). Non-elastic cross sections are given by (1955BE1D, 1955BE1F, 1955MA1G, 1955TA1B, 1955WA27, 1956BE32, 1956FL1B, 1957RO1D, 1958BA03, 1958MA22, 1958MA54, MC58C). See also (1957HU1D), ${}^9\text{Be}(n, n'){}^9\text{Be}^*$, ${}^9\text{Be}(n, 2n){}^8\text{Be}$ and ${}^9\text{Be}(n, \alpha){}^6\text{He}$. See also (1956HE1D, 1956LA1C, 1957ST1D, 1957ZA1A).

6. (a) ${}^9\text{Be}(n, 2n){}^8\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -1.666$ $E_b = 6.812$
 (b) ${}^9\text{Be}(n, n'){}^9\text{Be}^*$

The cross section for reaction (a) has been measured for $E_n = 2.6$ to 3.2 MeV. A sharp increase is reported at $E_n = 2.70$ MeV, threshold for process (b): ${}^9\text{Be}(n, n'){}^9\text{Be}^*(2.4) \rightarrow n + {}^8\text{Be}$; the resonance at $E_p = 2.73$ MeV (see ${}^9\text{Be}(n, n){}^9\text{Be}$) does not appear (1957FI1B: see, however, (1956ED15)). It is suggested that the (n, 2n) reaction mainly proceeds via process (b) in this energy region (1955FO1B, 1957FI1B). On the other hand (1958MA22) report evidence from energy spectra that the direct (n, 2n) process occurs for $E_n = 2.6$ to 6 MeV. See ${}^9\text{Be}$. The absolute cross section $\sigma(n, 2n)$ rises linearly from 0.1 b at 2.8 MeV to 0.7 b at 3.25 MeV (1957FI1B). The 90° differential cross section at $E_n = 3.7$ MeV is 39 ± 8 mb/sr (1957HU14, 1958WA05), 27 ± 6 mb/sr (1955FO1B: quoted in (1957HU14)). The cross section for Ra-Be and Po-Be neutrons is 0.37 ± 0.06 b (1956ED15). The average cross section for $E_n = 2$ to 11 MeV is 0.20 ± 0.12 b (1957VA12). At $E_n = 14$ MeV, the cross section is 0.42 ± 0.07 b (1957RO1D, 1957ST1C), in agreement with predictions of (1956SA1E) but not of (1953MA1C). (1958AS63) finds $\sigma = 0.54 \pm 0.04$ b at $E_n = 14.1$ MeV. See also (1950HO1A, 1952AG1A, 1957DU1B, 1958AN32, 1958BE1E, 1958HO1C).

7. ${}^9\text{Be}(n, t){}^7\text{Li}$ $Q_m = -10.435$ $E_b = 6.812$

At $E_n = 14$ MeV, $\sigma(n, t) = 18 \pm 1.5$ mb (1958WY67). See also (1957VA12).

8. ${}^9\text{Be}(n, \alpha){}^6\text{He}$ $Q_m = -0.628$ $E_b = 6.812$

The cross section for production of ${}^6\text{He}$ has been measured for $E_n = 0.7$ to 4.4 MeV by (1957ST95), for $E_n = 1$ to 6 MeV by (1957VA1D) and for $E_n = 3.3$ to 6.1 MeV by (1955SA1E). (1957ST95) find only a smooth rise to a broad maximum of 104 ± 7 mb at 3.0 MeV, followed by a gradual decrease to 70 mb at 4.4 MeV, possibly to be attributed to competition by ${}^9\text{Be}(n, 2n){}^8\text{Be}$. No indication of resonance is found at $E_n = 2.7$ MeV. Weak resonances are reported at $E_n = 3.73$ and 4.27 MeV by (1955SA1E). The cross section at $E_n = 14$ MeV is 10 ± 1 mb (1953BA04). See also (1947AL1A, 1957VA12).

Table 10.3: Levels of ^{10}Be from $^9\text{Be}(d, p)^{10}\text{Be}$

$^{10}\text{Be}^*$ ^a (MeV)	Γ ^a (keV)	l_n ^c	l_n ^b	J^π	θ_n^2 ^d (%)
0		1	1	0^+	5 – 9
3.368 ± 0.009		1	1	2^+	1
5.959 ± 0.009		0	0(1)	$1^-, (2^-)$	15
6.178 ± 0.009					(0.5)
6.262 ± 0.009		0	0(1)	$(1^-), 2^-$	8
7.37	≈ 25	1		$(2^+), 3^+$	1.2 – 1.4
			2	$0^-, 1^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^-$	
7.54	< 10				0.34
9.27 ^b					

^a (1954JU23, 1954JU1C).

^b (1958CA12: $E_d = 14.9$ MeV).

^c (1956GR37: $E_d = 9.0$ MeV).

^d Computed by (1958ME81) from data of (1951BO45, 1954FU1A, 1956GR37, 1956MA55, and others). See also (1957FR1B).

9. $^9\text{Be}(d, p)^{10}\text{Be}$

$$Q_m = 4.585$$

Levels reported by (1954JU23, 1954JU1C, 1956GR37, 1958CA12) are listed in Table 10.3. At $E_d = 7$ MeV the group corresponding to $^{10}\text{Be}^*(6.18)$ is only 5% as intense as that corresponding to $^{10}\text{Be}^*(6.26)$. The upper limit to the intensity of other groups is 3% (1954JU23: $\theta = 90^\circ$, $E_d = 5.4 - 7.4$ MeV).

Angular distributions of the protons to $^{10}\text{Be}(0)$ and $^{10}\text{Be}^*(3.37)$ have been studied at many energies from $E_d = 1$ to 14.9 MeV: see (1952AJ38, 1954EB02, 1955AJ61, 1955JU10, 1955JU1B, 1956GR37, 1956JU1E, 1956VA17, 1956ZE1A, 1957CO54, 1957JU1A, 1957SM1A, 1958CA12, 1958MI93). Except at the lowest energies, the stripping process appears to dominate. At $E_d = 9$ MeV, the ratio of the maximum differential cross sections of the $^9\text{Be}(d, p)^{10}\text{Be}(0)$ and $^9\text{Be}(d, n)^{10}\text{B}^*(1.74)$ reactions is 1.64 ± 0.25 ; $\gamma_n^2/\gamma_p^2 = 2.16$ (calculated from stripping theory; predicted value = 2) (1956CA1D). See also ^{11}B and (1956GR1D; theor.).

The 3.37-MeV level has $J = 2^+$, established by the (p, γ) correlation ($J \geq 2$), the stripping pattern ($J \leq 3^+$) and the internal pair formation coefficient (E1, M1 or E2): see (1955AJ61, 1958CH1A). Detailed study of the (p, γ) correlation at $E_d = 2.5$ to 3.9 MeV confirms this assignment and fixes the channel spin mixture (for capture of $l = 1$ neutrons) as 10% $J_c = 1$, 90% $J_c = 2$. This mixture is just that expected in pure L - S coupling for a $^3\text{P}_2$ state (1957CO54). Similar results are reported at $E_d = 7.7$ MeV by (1958PA1C). The gamma-ray energy is 3351 ± 27 (1953MA1A), 3400 ± 30 (1957MC35), 3360 ± 30 keV (1958ME81). Comparison of the γ -energy

at $E_d = 3.2$ MeV with recoils in vacuum and recoils stopped in Ta reveals no effect on the Doppler shift and sets an upper limit of 3.0×10^{-13} sec on the mean lifetime. A value of 0.4 to 1.0×10^{-12} sec is expected for a single-particle E2 transition (1959KO1B). It thus appears that shifts of some 20 – 30 keV should be subtracted from the observed γ -energies.

From the stripping pattern, the 5.96-MeV level is assigned $J = 1^-$ or 2^- (Table 10.3). A gamma ray of energy 5.98 ± 0.04 (1953MA1A), 6.035 ± 0.04 (1955BE81, 1957MC35), 6.01 ± 0.06 MeV (1958ME81) is assigned to this level, as is another, of energy 2.54 ± 0.04 MeV (1958ME81: $^{10}\text{Be}^*(5.96 \rightarrow 3.37)$). The fact that the cascade and direct transitions are roughly comparable in intensity fixes $J = 1^-$ (1958ME81).

The 6.26-MeV level ($J = 1^-$ or 2^-) appears to decay only to the 3.37-MeV level: the absence of the ground state transition indicates $J = 2^-$ (1958ME81). The 7.37-MeV level presents some difficulty. From stripping results $l_n = 1$, $J = 2^+$, 3^+ (see, however, (1958CA12)); from $^9\text{Be}(n, n)$, $J = 3$ and $l_n = 1$, possibly 2. The reduced width appears to be nearly the same as that of the 3.37-MeV level and $J = 2^+$ is suggested. Shell-model calculations indicate a vanishing width if $J = 3^+$ (1956GR37, 1957FR1B). The polarization of ground-state protons has been studied by (1958HI74). See also (1956GE1A, 1956TU1A, 1957HA1F).

10. $^9\text{Be}(t, d)^{10}\text{Be}$ $Q_m = 0.553$

Not reported.

11. $^9\text{Be}(\alpha, ^3\text{He})^{10}\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -13.766$

Not reported.

12. $^{10}\text{B}(n, p)^{10}\text{Be}$ $Q_m = 0.227$

See (1948EG1A, 1955JA18).

13. $^{10}\text{B}(t, ^3\text{He})^{10}\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -0.538$

Not reported.

14. $^{11}\text{B}(n, d)^{10}\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -9.010$

Not reported.

$$15. \text{}^{11}\text{B}(\text{p}, 2\text{p})\text{}^{10}\text{Be} \quad Q_{\text{m}} = -11.237$$

See (1958MA1B, 1958TY49).

$$16. \text{}^{11}\text{B}(\text{d}, \text{}^3\text{He})\text{}^{10}\text{Be} \quad Q_{\text{m}} = -5.743$$

Not reported.

$$17. \text{}^{11}\text{B}(\text{t}, \alpha)\text{}^{10}\text{Be} \quad Q_{\text{m}} = 8.576$$

Not reported.

$$18. \text{}^{13}\text{C}(\text{n}, \alpha)\text{}^{10}\text{Be} \quad Q_{\text{m}} = -3.843$$

See ^{14}C .

¹⁰B
(Fig. 13)

GENERAL:

Theory: See (1955FR1F, 1956KU1A, 1957FR1B, 1957GR1D, 1957KU58, 1958FR1C, 1958KU1C, 1959WA16).

1. ${}^6\text{Li}(\alpha, \gamma){}^{10}\text{B}$ $Q_m = 4.459$

Five resonances are observed in the range $E_\alpha = 0.5$ to 2.6 MeV, corresponding to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(4.76 - 6.06 \text{ MeV})$: see Table 10.5. No other resonances appear for $E_\alpha < 3.8 \text{ MeV}$ (${}^{10}\text{B}^*(6.74)$) (1957ME27).

The 4.76-MeV state decays mainly to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(0.7)$. The angular distribution of γ -rays indicates $J = 2^+$, with a ratio $E2/M1 = 1.8$ (1957ME27), $E2/M1 = 0.68$; $J = 3^+$ is possible, but unlikely (1957WA07). The strength of the E2 radiation is $\approx 0.01 \text{ eV}$, several times the single-particle value (1957ME27). The relative weakness of the M1 transition may reflect the predicted inhibition of such transitions for $T_z = 0$, $\Delta T = 0$ transitions (1958MO17). The weakness of the ground-state radiation is puzzling (1953WI32). Observation of γ -decay in ${}^9\text{Be}(\text{d}, \text{n}){}^{10}\text{B}$ suggests $\Gamma_\gamma \approx \Gamma_\alpha$ (1958ME81).

The 5.11-MeV level was not observed by (1954JO09) who estimated $\omega\Gamma_s < 0.02 \text{ eV}$ ($\Gamma_s = \Gamma_\alpha\Gamma_\gamma/\Gamma_\alpha + \Gamma_\gamma$). According to (1957ME27), $\omega\Gamma_s = 0.1 \text{ eV}$. The angular distribution admits $J = 2^+$ and 4^- as possible assignments; $J = 3^+$ is possible but unlikely. The earlier suggested assignment $J = 2^-$ appears to be excluded. On the other hand, (1958ME81) observe that the angular distribution can be accounted for by $J = 2^-$ if E1 radiation is strongly inhibited, i.e. if $T = 0$. See ${}^9\text{Be}(\text{d}, \text{n}){}^{10}\text{B}$ and ${}^9\text{Be}(\text{p}, \gamma){}^{10}\text{B}$.

Angular distributions of gamma radiation from the 5.16-MeV level admit $J = 1^+$ or 2^+ ; 1^- and 2^- are possible, but unlikely (1957ME27). Observation of a γ -decay in ${}^9\text{Be}(\text{d}, \text{n}){}^{10}\text{B}$ strongly supports the suggestion that $\Gamma_\alpha \approx \Gamma_\gamma$ and that the reported width is largely experimental (1958ME81). In this case, the assignment $T = 1$ is indicated (1954JO09, 1959WA16). See also (1957KU58; theor.).

For the 5.92-MeV level, $J = 2^\pm$, 3^+ and 4^+ are possible. Only $J = 4^+$ gives a satisfactory account of the angular distribution from the 6.02-MeV level. The ratio $E2/M1 = 9.0$ (1957ME27).

2. (a) ${}^6\text{Li}(\alpha, \text{p}){}^9\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -2.125$ $E_b = 4.459$
 (b) ${}^6\text{Li}(\alpha, \text{d}){}^8\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -1.565$

See (1956WA29).

Table 10.4: Energy levels of ^{10}B

E_x in ^{10}B (MeV)	$J^\pi; T$	Γ (keV) or τ_m	Decay	Reactions
0	$3^+; 0$	stable	—	4, 10, 13, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23
0.7174 ± 0.001	$1^+; 0$	$(9.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-10}$ sec	γ	4, 5, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23
1.739 ± 0.005	$0^+; 1$		γ	4, 5, 10, 11, 16, 19
2.152 ± 0.005	$1^+; 0$		γ	5, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 23
3.583 ± 0.005	$2^+; 0$		γ	5, 10, 16, 17
4.771 ± 0.005	$(2^+); 0$	< 10	α, γ	1, 10, 16
5.105 ± 0.007	$(2^-; 0)$	1.2	α, γ	1, 10, 16
5.159 ± 0.007	$(1^+, 2^+; 1)$	< 0.5	α, γ	1, 10, 16
(5.37 ± 0.04)				10
5.58 ± 0.04				10
5.92 ± 0.02	$(2^\pm, 3^+, 4^+)$	12 ± 3	α, γ	1, 10
6.02 ± 0.01	4^+	< 1	α, γ	1, 10
6.16 ± 0.02		< 20		10
6.40 ± 0.03		< 100		10
6.57 ± 0.02		≈ 30		10
(6.77 ± 0.04)				10
6.88 ± 0.01	$1^-; 0$	145	$\text{p}, \gamma, \text{d}, \alpha$	5, 7, 9
(7.01)			p, d	9
(7.20)			$\text{p}, \text{d}, \alpha$	9
7.47	2^+	≈ 80	p	7
7.48 ± 0.01	$2^-; 1$	80 ± 3	$\text{p}, \gamma, \text{d}, \alpha$	5, 7, (9)
7.56 ± 0.01	$0^+; (1)$	3.5 ± 0.5	p, γ	5, 7
7.78	2^-	≈ 360	$\text{p}, (\gamma), \text{d}, \alpha$	5, 7, 9
(8.07)		≈ 350	p, d	9
(8.66)		≈ 220	p, d	9
8.89 ± 0.01	$2^+; 1$	36 ± 2	$\text{p}, \gamma, \alpha_2$	5, 7, 9
8.89 ± 0.01	$(3^+; 1)$	84 ± 6	p, n	6, 7
9.7	$; (1)$	≈ 650	$\text{p}, \text{n}, \alpha_2$	6, 9
10.7	$(^+; 1)$	≈ 450	$\text{p}, \gamma, \text{n}, \alpha_2$	5, 6, 9

3. (a) ${}^7\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{p}){}^9\text{Be}$	$Q_m = 11.200$	$E_b = 17.784$
(b) ${}^7\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{d}){}^8\text{Be}$	$Q_m = 11.759$	
(c) ${}^7\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \alpha){}^6\text{Li}$	$Q_m = 13.325$	

See ${}^6\text{Li}$, ${}^8\text{Be}$ and ${}^9\text{Be}$.

4. ${}^7\text{Li}(\alpha, \text{n}){}^{10}\text{B}$	$Q_m = -2.793$
	$Q_0 = -2.788 \pm 0.004$ (1957BI84 : neutron threshold).

Thresholds for production of slow neutrons are observed at $E_\alpha = 4.379 \pm 0.006$ MeV (${}^{10}\text{B}(0)$) and $E_\alpha = 5.51$ MeV (${}^{10}\text{B}^*(0.71)$) (**1957BI84**). Thresholds at $E_\alpha = 4.45, 5.64, 6.49$ and 7.15 MeV are reported by (**1956RO06**): ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(0, 0.76, 1.30, 1.72)$. At $E_\alpha = 8.16$ MeV, neutron groups corresponding to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(0, 0.72, 1.32, 1.71)$ are reported. It is noted that the 1.3-MeV level is not observed in any other reaction (**1956RO06**). See also (**1957NE1B**).

5. ${}^9\text{Be}(\text{p}, \gamma){}^{10}\text{B}$	$Q_m = 6.585$
---	---------------

Observed resonances are listed in Table 10.6. An earlier reported resonance at 0.49 MeV is not confirmed (**1955LO1A, 1956CL69**). Cross sections from $E_p = 30$ to 250 keV are reported by (**1953SA1A**: see (**1957JA37**)).

The $E_p = 0.33$ -MeV resonance (${}^{10}\text{B}^*(6.89)$) has been the object of considerable study. The proton width indicates s-wave formation (**1956WI16**); the isotropy of the radiation is consistent with this assignment (**1955CA25, 1956CL69**). Of the possibilities $J = 1^-$ or 2^- , the latter appears to be excluded by the strength of the transition to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(1.74, J = 0^+; T = 1)$ (**1956WI16**). The angular correlation in the cascade ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(6.89 \rightarrow 0.7 \rightarrow \text{g.s.})$ is consistent with $J = 1^-$ (E1) $1^+(E2)3^+$ (**1957BI75**). The strong E1 transition to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(1.74)$ and the large deuteron width indicate $T = 0$ for the 6.89-MeV level. On the other hand, the transitions to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(0.7, 2.1)$ are nearly as strong, and would appear to require a $T = 1$ admixture of the order of 20%. Such a large admixture might be ascribed to a neighboring $J = 1^-; T = 1$ state of the same parentage (${}^9\text{Be} + \text{s-wave proton}$) (see, however, (**1957BA1J**)). The strong M1 transition to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(5.11)$ and the absence of the E1 transition to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(5.16)$ present some difficulty (**1956WI16**). According to (**1959ME1C**) however, the observed transition is not to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(5.11)$ but rather to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(5.16)$; also this transition is not strongly resonant in this region. The 6.2, 5.2 and 4.7-MeV γ -rays all show the same resonance, excluding the possibility that two states may be involved. The ground-state transition shows only a monotonic rise, attributable partly to direct capture and partly to the tail of the 993-keV resonance (**1958ED16**). The angular correlation of 1.0 and 0.7-MeV γ -rays is consistent with $J = 1^+$ or 2^+ for ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(0.7)$ (**1955CA25**).

For $E_p = 380$ to 460 keV (thick target), a 2 – 4 % anisotropy is observed in the high energy radiation ($E_\gamma > 3$ MeV). At $E_p = 600$ keV, (thick target), the cascade ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(6.9 \rightarrow 3.58 \rightarrow 0.7 \rightarrow$

Table 10.5: Levels of ^{10}B from $^6\text{Li}(\alpha, \gamma)^{10}\text{B}$ (1957ME27)

E_{res} (keV)	E_x (MeV)	Γ_{lab} (keV)	E_γ ^a (MeV)	(%)	$\omega\Gamma_s$ ^b (eV)	J^π
500 ± 25 ^c	4.759		4.76	8 ^d		$2^+, (3^+)$
1085	5.110	2 ^f	4.05	92	0.05 ^e	$(2^+), (4^-), (2^-)$
			5.1	96	0.10	
			4.4	4	0.005	
1175 ^g	5.164	< 0.8 ^f	5.16	7	0.04	$1^+, 2^+$
			4.44	29	0.15	
			3.01	64	0.32	
2435	5.920	20	5.9	100		$2^\pm, 3^+, 4^+$
2605	6.022	< 1.5 ^f	6.0	100		4^+

^a Primary radiation: see Fig. 14.

^b $\Gamma_s \equiv \Gamma_\alpha \Gamma_\gamma / (\Gamma_\alpha + \Gamma_\gamma)$.

^c (1953WI32, 1954JO09).

^d (1957ME27); (1957WA07) finds $< 3\%$.

^e (1957WA07).

^f S.S. Hanna, private communication: see (1958ME81).

^g (1954JO09) give 1183 ± 5 , $\omega\Gamma_s \approx 1$ eV, $J = 2^+$; $T = 1$; (1953WI32) give branching fractions 5 : 25 : 70.

g.s.) is observed, with an intensity of 0.35 that of the $(6.9 \rightarrow 1.74)$ cascade. It is suggested that the intensity of this transition implies a strong $T = 1$ admixture in $^{10}\text{B}^*(3.58)$ (1957BI75).

The broad resonance at 0.99 MeV ($E_x = 7.48$ MeV) is also believed to be formed by s-waves. The angular distribution of the γ -radiation suggests dominant s-wave formation with some d-wave contribution (1949DE1A, 1953PA22); see, however, (1956MO90). The resonance is located at 993 ± 2 (1953HO1C: $\Gamma = 88 \pm 3$ keV), 989.5 ± 1.4 keV (1956HU1B: $\Gamma = 91 \pm 5$ keV). Assuming $J = 2$, $\Gamma_\gamma = 23$ eV (1953HO1C). The strength of the transition to the ground state indicates E1, $T = 1$ (1953WI1B): again, as in the 6.89-MeV level, a considerable contamination is required, since $^9\text{Be}(p, d)^8\text{Be}$ and $^9\text{Be}(p, \alpha)^6\text{Li}$ are also resonant. Study of the angular correlation of internal conversion pairs indicates about equal contributions of E1 and E3 or M2 transitions (1954DE1D). It is suggested by (1956MO90) that the observed transitions actually arise from two levels at 980 keV, ($J = 2^+$) and 993 keV, with ($J = 2^-$): see $^9\text{Be}(p, p)^9\text{Be}$.

The narrow 7.56-MeV level [$E_p = 1085 \pm 2$ keV (1953HO1C), 1083.7 ± 0.7 keV, $\Gamma = 3.8 \pm 0.5$ keV (1956HU1B)] decays mainly to the 0.7-MeV state (1953HO1C: $\Gamma_\gamma = 6.0$ eV assuming $J = 0$). The angular distributions of these γ -rays and the subsequent 0.7-MeV γ -rays are isotropic,

consistent with $J = 0$ (1953PA22). From $E_p = 1.1$ MeV to the neutron threshold at 2.06 MeV, no further structure is observed except a possible broad level tailing off from 1.15 to 1.55 MeV (1955KI1B): see ${}^9\text{Be}(p, d){}^8\text{Be}$ and ${}^9\text{Be}(p, p){}^9\text{Be}$.

The excitation curve for $E_\gamma > 6$ MeV shows a pronounced resonance at $E_p = 2.567 \pm 0.003$ MeV, and another, ≈ 0.5 MeV wide, superimposed on a general rise, at $E_p = 4.72 \pm 0.01$ MeV. At the 2.6-MeV resonance, the capture radiation appears to proceed predominantly to the 0.7-MeV state (1953MA1A). It would appear from the width that this resonance corresponds to the ${}^9\text{Be}(p, \alpha\gamma){}^6\text{Li}$ resonance, $J = 2^+$, and not to the ${}^9\text{Be}(p, n){}^9\text{B}$ resonance, $J = 3^+$, at the same energy (see (1956MA55)).

6. ${}^9\text{Be}(p, n){}^9\text{B}$

$$Q_m = -1.854$$

$$E_b = 6.585$$

Resonances in the neutron yield occur at $E_p = 2.56, 4.70$ and (4.90) MeV (1952HA10, 1955MA84, 1956MA55, 1959GI47, 1959MA20); see (1957JA37) and Table 10.6. A broad maximum ($\theta = 90^\circ$) near $E_p = 3.5$ MeV suggests an additional ${}^{10}\text{B}$ state at 9.7 MeV with $\Gamma \approx 0.7$ MeV (1956MA55, 1959MA20). Angular distributions are nearly isotropic near $E_p = 2.56$ MeV but show marked structure at higher energies (1956MA55). The excitation function for ground-state neutrons (n_0) does not show the resonance at 4.9 MeV. If this peak represents neutrons leading to ${}^9\text{B}^*(2.3)$, it may arise from the tail of the $E_p = 3.5$ -MeV resonance rather than from a new level. A continuous distribution of neutrons, observed for $E_p > 4.5$ MeV, is attributed to excitation of ${}^9\text{Be}^*(2.4)$ followed by breakup via ${}^8\text{Be}^*(2.9)$ (1959MA20).

The 2.56-MeV resonance is believed to be distinct from the resonance observed at the same energy in ${}^9\text{Be}(p, \alpha\gamma){}^6\text{Li}$, because of its greater width (93 keV vs. 38 keV). Estimates of the reduced proton and neutron widths agree well with the known parameters of the 7.37 MeV, $J = 3^+$; $T = 1$ state of ${}^{10}\text{Be}$. Assignment of $J = 3^+$ to the ${}^{10}\text{B}$ state accounts for the absence of ${}^9\text{Be}(p, \alpha\gamma){}^6\text{Li}$ γ -rays at this resonance (1956MA55). See also (1957ST1D, 1958MA1F, 1958TA03).

Table 10.6: Resonances in ${}^9\text{Be} + p$ ^r

E_p (keV)	E_x (MeV)	Γ_{lab} (keV)	l_p	Product ^a	E_γ (MeV)	Final State	Γ_γ (eV)	$J^\pi; T$
330 ^b	6.88	160	0	γ, α, d, p	(6.9)	g.s.	0 ^d	$1^-; 0$
					6.2	0.7	1.0	
					5.2	1.7	2.6	
					4.7	2.1	0.52	
					(1.7)	(5.1)	(0.61) ^o	
(470) ^e	(7.01)	90	1	d, p			$2^+;$	
(680) ^e	(7.20)			α, d, p				
980 ± 10 ^f	7.467			p				

Table 10.6: Resonances in ${}^9\text{Be} + \text{p}^r$ (continued)

E_p (keV)	E_x (MeV)	Γ_{lab} (keV)	l_p	Product ^a	E_γ (MeV)	Final State	Γ_γ (eV)	$J^\pi; T$
991 ± 1.4^g	7.477	89 ± 3^g	0	$\gamma, \alpha, \text{d}, \text{p}$	7.5 (6.8) (5.8) (5.4)	g.s. 0.7 1.7 2.1	23^i ≤ 1.7 ≤ 0.4 ≤ 0.7	$2^-; 1$
1083.7 ± 0.7^j	7.561	3.8 ± 0.5	1^h	γ, p	6.9 5.4 (2.5)	0.7 2.1 5.1	6.0^i ≤ 0.3 $\approx 0.9^s$	$0^+; (1)^{h,p}$
1330 ^k	7.78	$\approx 400^h$	0	$\text{p}, (\gamma), \text{d}, \alpha$				$2^-;$
1650 ^q	8.07	≈ 400		p, d				
2300 ^q	8.66	≈ 250		p, d				
2567 ± 3^j	8.895	40 ± 2	1	$\text{p}, \alpha_2, \gamma$ $(\alpha_0\text{n})$	(8.1) ^l	0.7		$2^+; 1$
2567 ± 3 (3500) ⁿ	8.895 9.7	93 ± 7^n ≈ 700	(1)	p, n $\text{p}, \text{n}, \alpha_2$				$(3^+; 1)^a$ (; 1)
4600 ⁿ	10.7	≈ 500		$\text{p}, \text{n}, \gamma, \alpha_2$				(+; 1)
E_p (keV)	$\frac{\Gamma_p \text{ (keV)}}{\theta_p^2}$	$\frac{\Gamma_d \text{ (keV)}}{\theta_d^2}$	$\frac{\Gamma_\alpha \text{ (keV)}}{\theta_\alpha^2}$	$\frac{\Gamma_{\alpha_2} \text{ (keV)}^a}{\theta_{\alpha_2}^2}$	$\frac{\Gamma_n \text{ (keV)}}{\theta_n^2}$			
330 ^b	$\frac{25^c}{0.15}$	$\frac{59}{0.12}$	$\frac{57}{0.013}$	0	0			
(470) ^e				0	0			
(680) ^e				0	0			
980 ± 10^f	$\frac{80^h}{0.07}$	$\frac{\leq 10^r}{\leq 0.02}$	$\frac{\leq 10^r}{\leq 0.005}$	0	0			
991 ± 1.4^g	$\frac{60^h}{0.06}$	$\frac{\approx 15^r}{0.008}$	$\frac{\approx 15^r}{0.004}$	0	0			
1083.7 ± 0.7^j	3.8^h			0	0			
1330 ^k	$\frac{260^h}{0.15}$	$\frac{\leq 70^r}{\leq 0.03}$	$\frac{\leq 70^r}{\leq 0.016}$	0	0			
1650 ^q								
2300 ^q								
2567 ± 3^j	$\frac{[16]^1}{0.0016}$	$\frac{\leq 13.5^m}{\leq 5 \times 10^{-3}}$	$\frac{0.08-4.0^m}{10^{-5}-10^{-3}}$	$\frac{[20]^1}{0.33}$	$\frac{[4]^1}{0.0016}$			
2567 ± 3 (3500) ⁿ	0.013			(0)	0.013			
4600 ⁿ								

- a “ α_2 ” to ${}^6\text{Li}^*(3.58)$, $J = 0^+$; $T = 1$.
- b Removal of s-wave penetration factor gives $E_{\text{res}} = 307$ keV, $\Gamma = 160$ keV (1955LO1A).
- c (1956WI16). (1956MO90) finds 48/0.5, 47/0.4, 62/0.05 with a factor of 2 uncertainty.
- d (1956CL69). (1955CA25) report relative intensities 15 : 40 : 100 : 45 for the first four and $\Gamma_\gamma = 5$ eV for the 5.1-MeV radiation; however, the ground-state transition appears to be non-resonant (1955LO1A, 1956CL69, 1958ED16). See also (1957BI75).
- e (1949TH05, 1951NE03)
- f (1956DE33, 1956MO90).
- g (1953HO1C, HU55).
- h (1956MO90).
- i (1953HO1C).
- j (1952HA10, 1956HU1B).
- k (1955KI1B, 1956MO90, 1956WE37).
- l (1953MA1A, 1954MA26).
- m (1956WE37): based on $\Gamma_p = 7$ keV.
- n (1956MA55, 1959GI47, 1959MA20).
- o (1959ME1C) find that this transition proceeds to the 5.16-MeV level, and is mainly non-resonant.
- p (1959WA16).
- q (1956WE37): see (1958ME81).
- r See a similar table in (1958ME81).
- s Meyerhof and Tanner (1959ME1C) find this transition is resonant and proceeds mainly to ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(5.16)$. The large width suggests E1 or M1 radiation.

7. ${}^9\text{Be}(p, p){}^9\text{Be}$

$$E_b = 6.585$$

For $E_p = 0.22$ to 0.78 MeV, the elastic scattering is adequately described by s-wave formation of a broad level at $E_p = 330$ keV, $J = 1^-$ or 2^- . For $J = 1^-$, $\Gamma_p/\Gamma = 0.30$ (1956MO90).

In the range $E_p = 0.8$ to 1.6 MeV, attempts to fit the scattering data with s-waves are only moderately successful. That the major contribution at the 980-keV state is s-wave is indicated by the existence of interference minima at all angles near $E_p = 1$ MeV. Inclusion of d-waves, $J = 2^-$, does not improve the fit. The best account of the behavior of the cross section in this region is obtained with the assumption of two s-wave resonances, $J = 2^-$, at $E_{\text{res}} = 998$ and 1330 keV, $\Gamma_p/\Gamma = 0.65 \pm 0.15$, $\Gamma(998) = 150 \pm 50$ keV, $\Gamma(1330) = 400 \pm 100$ keV, $\theta_p^2 \approx 0.006$ and 0.15 , respectively, superposed on a p-wave, $J = 2^+$ resonance at 980 keV (see below). There is also some hint of a higher s-wave, $J = 1^-$, resonance (1956MO90). (1956DE33) also finds that the $E_p = 998$ keV level is formed by s-waves, with $\Gamma = 90$ keV, $\Gamma_p/\Gamma = 0.7$. The scattering near 1.1 MeV requires a broad p-wave resonance at 1.1 MeV, $\Gamma = 200$ keV, Γ_p/Γ small (1956DE33).

At the 1084 -keV resonance (${}^{10}\text{B}^*(7.56)$), the smallness of the scattering anomaly indicates $J = 0$. Absence of interference at $\theta = 90^\circ$ suggests $l = 1$, hence $J = 0^+$. A satisfactory fit is obtained with the inclusion of a p-wave, 2^+ , state in the background. The 2^+ state appears to be formed with channel spin 1, $\Gamma_p/\Gamma \approx 0.9$ and to be located within a few hundred keV below 1084

keV. A fit to the data near 1 MeV gives $E_{\text{res}} = 980 \pm 10$ keV, $\Gamma = 90$ keV, $\theta_p^2 \approx 0.07$ (1956MO90). A scattering anomaly has also been observed at $E_p \approx 2.56$ MeV. This anomaly increases in the backward direction indicating p-wave formation of a level at 8.89 MeV with $J \geq 2$, Γ_p large (1956DE33).

Recent work on differential cross sections has been done in the range $E_p = 5.4$ to 31.5 MeV by (1952BR52, 1953WR1A, 1956DA03, 1956KI54, 1956KL55, 1956RA32). See also (1955GR12, 1955HI1B, 1958BR24) and ${}^9\text{Be}$.

8. ${}^9\text{Be}(p, t){}^7\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -12.081$ $E_b = 6.585$

See (1954CO02).

9. (a) ${}^9\text{Be}(p, d){}^8\text{Be}$ $Q_m = 0.560$ $E_b = 6.585$
 (b) ${}^9\text{Be}(p, \alpha){}^6\text{Li}$ $Q_m = 2.125$

Excitation functions and angular distributions have been studied for $E_p = 0.3$ to 1.3 MeV by (1949TH05, 1951NE03, 1956MO90) and for $E_p = 0.8$ to 3.0 MeV by (1956WE37). Observed resonances are listed in Table 10.6. Angular distributions at the 330-keV resonance are isotropic, consistent with s-wave formation (1956MO90). Proton and deuteron reduced widths for this resonance are evidently quite large, while the α -width is some 10 times smaller (1956MO90, 1956WI16). For $E_p > 500$ keV, the distributions show strong interference terms, implying contributions from states of both parities (1951NE03, 1956WE37). It is noted by (1956MO90) that the states appearing in ${}^9\text{Be}(p, p){}^9\text{Be}$ are not sufficient to account for this interference, and an additional p-wave state near $E_p \approx 700$ keV offers a plausible solution; see also (1958ME81). Above $E_p = 1.8$ MeV, pronounced pickup is evident in the (p, d) reaction (1956WE37).

A pronounced maximum occurs in the integrated cross section for both reactions at $E_p = 930$ keV, $\Gamma = 130 \pm 30$ keV, presumably due to the $J = 2^-$; $T = 1$ resonance seen in ${}^9\text{Be}(p, \gamma){}^{10}\text{B}$ and ${}^9\text{Be}(p, p){}^9\text{Be}$ at $E_p = 991$ keV. The reason for the energy shift is not clear. An appreciable $T = 0$ contamination is required; this may be due to the 1330-keV, $J = 2^-$, resonance which appears in the (p, d), (p, α), (p, p) and possibly (p, γ) yields (1956WE37).

The 7.56-MeV state is not resonant for (p, α) or (p, d); this observation is consistent with its $J = 0^+$ character. Broad, weak maxima occur in the total (p, d) cross section at $E_p = 1.25$, 1.64 and 2.3 MeV; the 90° (p, α) cross section shows maxima at $E_p = 1.25$, and ≈ 2.0 MeV with a small anomaly at $E_p = 2.56$ MeV, $\Gamma = 40 \pm 10$ keV. The $E_p = 1.25$ -MeV structure is probably associated with the $E_p = 1.33$ -MeV resonance in ${}^9\text{Be}(p, p){}^9\text{Be}$, while the 2.56-MeV anomaly is clearly connected with the strong resonance at that energy seen in ${}^9\text{Be}(p, \alpha\gamma){}^6\text{Li}$ ($J = 2^+$; $T = 1$). An upper limit to the deuteron width at this resonance is $\Gamma_d = 13.5$ keV, $\theta^2 = 4.5 \times 10^{-3}$. For α_0 particles, $0.08 < \Gamma_\alpha < 4.0$ keV, $2.2 \times 10^{-5} < \theta_\alpha^2 < 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ (1956WE37). For the (p, $\alpha_2\gamma$) reaction, the resonance occurs at 2.562 ± 0.006 MeV, $\Gamma = 38 \pm 3$ keV, $\theta_n^2 + \theta_p^2 = 0.0032$

(1954MA1C, 1956MA55: $\Gamma = 41 \pm 2$ keV). From the fact that this state ($^{10}\text{B}^*(8.89)$) decays primarily to the $J = 0^+$; $T = 1$ state of ^6Li (3.58 MeV) it is concluded that it has $T = 1$ (1954MA26, 1954MA1C). The observed cross section requires $J \geq 2$, while the width requires $J \leq 2$. For $J = 2$, $\theta_{\alpha_2}^2 = 0.19$ or 0.33 , $\theta_n^2 = 0.0016$ (1954MA26). This resonance is presumed to be distinct from the $^9\text{Be}(p, n)^9\text{B}$ resonance which occurs at the same energy (1956MA55). A further resonance for $^6\text{Li}^*(3.6)$ γ -rays appears at $E_p = 4.49$ MeV, and a broad rise near $E_p = 3.5$ MeV, observed in $^9\text{Be}(p, n)^9\text{B}$, seem also to be found here. It is suggested that these states have $T = 1$ and correspond to $^{10}\text{Be}^*(9.27)$ and $^{10}\text{Be}^*(9.4)$ (1959MA20).

10. $^9\text{Be}(d, n)^{10}\text{B}$

$$Q_m = 4.358$$

Neutron groups are observed corresponding to ^{10}B states listed in Table 10.7: see (1951AJ1A, 1952PR1A, 1953PR1A, 1955GE1B, 1955GR1D, 1957MU1D, 1957NE1C, 1957SH65, 1958GE04, 1958NE38). Thresholds for slow neutron production corresponding to ^{10}B states from 4.77 to 6.57 MeV are reported by (1954BO79). Indications of a state at 2.8 MeV are reported by (1953DY1A, 1954RE1A, 1958GE04). Angular distributions to the low states have been studied at many energies from $E_d = 0.5$ to 3.4 MeV: see (1952AJ22, 1952PR1A, 1953PR1A, 1955GE1B, 1955GR1D, 1957NE1C, 1957SH65). The data show evidence both for stripping and compound nucleus formation with more evidence of the former in the higher energy work: the ground state and the first four excited states have $J \leq 3$ and even parity, and one or both of the 5.1-MeV levels have $J = 1^-$ or 2^- (1952AJ22). It is of interest that the 3.6-MeV state shows a well developed stripping pattern even at the lowest bombarding energies. According to (1958SA17, 1959NE1A), however, the character changes drastically at $E_d = 1.5$ to 2 MeV, suggesting some contribution of “heavy particle” stripping. The group leading to the 0.72-MeV state also shows this effect. See also (1956MAIN; theor.). Absolute reduced widths for several levels have been estimated by (1958ME81) using published cross section data: see Table 10.7.

The mean lifetime of the 0.72-MeV state is $(7 \pm 2) \times 10^{-10}$ sec (1953TH14), $(8.5 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-10}$ sec (1956SE08), $(11.8 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-10}$ sec (1958GO47, 1958KN1B), $(9.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-10}$ sec (1958DA11); compare with $^{10}\text{B}(p, p')^{10}\text{B}^*$. The γ -ray energy is 716.6 ± 1 keV (1949RA02). The 1.74-MeV state ($J = 0^+$; $T = 1$) decays via the 0.7-MeV state: $E_\gamma = 1022 \pm 2$ keV (1949RA02). The ground-state transition is $< 10\%$ (1951AL1B). See also (1954SH1A).

The 2.1-MeV state decays directly to the ground state and via cascades through the 0.72 and 1.74-MeV levels; $E_\gamma = 2151 \pm 16$, 1433 ± 5 , 413.5 ± 1 keV; the intensities are in ratio 1.2 : 2.5 : 1.2 (1949RA02). The relative contribution of the 1400-keV γ -ray is uncertain since this radiation also arises from the transition $3.58 \rightarrow 2.1$ (1954SH1A). The relative strength of the low energy, $2.1 \rightarrow 1.7$ MeV, transition is attributed to a strong inhibition of the M1, $\Delta T = 0$ transition from $^{10}\text{B}^*(2.1 \text{ to } 0.7)$ (1958MO17: see also (1957KU58)). For the 3.58-MeV level, the ground state transition is about $\frac{1}{3}$ as intense as that to the 0.7-MeV state (1949RA1B: see also (1957MC35, 1958CH1A)). The transition ($3.58 \rightarrow 2.1$) also occurs (1954SH1A). The angular correlation ($2.86 \rightarrow 0.7$) is consistent with $J = 1^+$ or 2^+ for the 3.6-MeV level; $J = 0, 3$ are excluded. For $J = 2^+$, acceptable schemes are 2 (0.93 M1 + 0.07 E2)1(E2)3 or 2(E2)1(E2)3 (1956SH94). A

Table 10.7: Levels of ^{10}B from $^9\text{Be}(d, n)^{10}\text{B}$ ^a, $^{10}\text{B}(p, p')^{10}\text{B}^*$ ^h and $^{10}\text{B}(d, d')^{10}\text{B}^*$ ^h

$^{10}\text{B}^*$ (MeV)	l_p ^a	$J\pi$	θ_p^2 ^a (%)	Γ_γ (eV) to final state at E_x ^d			
				0	0.7	1.7	2.1
0	1	3^+	≈ 1.7				
0.717 ^h	1	1^+	≈ 3.5	$[10^{-4}]$ ^e			
1.739	1	0^+	≈ 2.5	$< 7\%$ ^f	100%		
2.152	1	1^+		30% ^g	[40%]	30%	
3.583	1	2^+	≈ 0.7	20% ^g	60%	0	(20%)
4.771 ^c	(1)	2^+	≈ 0.3	(0.005) ^d	(0.055)		
5.105 ^{b,c}	(0)	(2^-)	≈ 1.3	0.06 ^d	0.003		≤ 0.012
5.159 ^{b,c} (5.37) 5.58 (5.72)	(1)	(2^+)	(0.5)	(0.04) ^d	(0.18)		(0.39)
5.93 ^c	(1)	(3^+)	≈ 0.5	100%			
6.06 ^{b,c}		4^+		100%			
6.16 ^{b,c}							
6.43 ^c							
6.57 ^c (6.77)							

^a (1952AJ22, 1958ME81).

^b Not resolved in neutron groups.

^c Observed as slow neutron thresholds (1954BO79).

^d (1958ME81): see also Table 10.5 ($^6\text{Li}(\alpha, \gamma)^{10}\text{B}$).

^e (1953TH14, 1956SE08).

^f (1957MC35).

^g (1949RA02, 1954SH1A, 1957MC35).

^h Energies of first seven excited states are from (1953BO70, 1953BR1A); probable errors are ± 5 keV for first five, and ± 7 keV for the 5.11 and 5.16-MeV states. For more precise values for the 0.7-MeV state, see text under reaction 10 in ^{10}B .

spin 1^+ assignment would permit a strong M1 transition to the $J = 0^+; T = 0$ state at 1.74 MeV (1958MO17).

The fact that gamma radiation is observed in competition with α -decay from the 4.77 and 5.16-MeV levels suggests that $\Gamma_\gamma \approx \Gamma_\alpha$ for these two states. No radiation is observed from the 5.11, 5.93, or 6.06-MeV levels in ${}^9\text{Be}(d, n){}^{10}\text{B}$ (1958ME81: see also (1959NE1A)). No gamma rays of energy 6.5 to 8.0 MeV are observed with intensity $> 5\%$ of the 6.0-MeV, ${}^{10}\text{Be}$ radiation (1955BE81: $E_d = 3.85$ MeV). The ratio of $5.16 \rightarrow \text{g.s.}$ to $5.16 \rightarrow 0.7$ radiation is 1 : 2 (1955BE81: see, however, (1957MC35)).

At $E_d = 9$ MeV, the ratio of the maximum differential cross sections of the ${}^9\text{Be}(d, p){}^{10}\text{Be}$ and ${}^9\text{Be}(d, n){}^{10}\text{B}$ reactions to the first $T = 1$ states leads to a ratio of reduced widths of 2.16 (calculated from stripping theory; predicted value from charge independence: 2) (1956CA1D). See also (1956BA1F, 1956BO1F, 1956BO43, 1956DE1D, 1956GO1H, 1957GR1A, 1958BE03).

$$11. {}^9\text{Be}({}^3\text{He}, d){}^{10}\text{B} \quad Q_m = 1.091$$

At $E({}^3\text{He}) = 2.1$ MeV, gamma-rays from the 0.72-MeV state have been observed (1957FE1B). At $E({}^3\text{He}) = 5.7$ MeV, deuteron groups are observed to the ground state of ${}^{10}\text{B}$ and to levels at 0.724 ± 0.010 , 1.751 ± 0.010 , and 2.163 ± 0.010 MeV (S. Hinds and R. Middleton, private communication). The angular distributions follow quite closely the $l_p = 1$ stripping patterns.

$$12. {}^9\text{Be}(\alpha, t){}^{10}\text{B} \quad Q_m = -13.228$$

Not reported.

$$13. {}^{10}\text{Be}(\beta^-){}^{10}\text{B} \quad Q_m = 0.556$$

See ${}^{10}\text{Be}$.

$$14. (a) {}^{10}\text{B}(\gamma, d){}^4\text{He} + {}^4\text{He} \quad Q_m = -5.930$$

$$(b) {}^{10}\text{B}(\gamma, \alpha){}^6\text{Li} \quad Q_m = -4.459$$

$$(c) {}^{10}\text{B}(\gamma, np){}^4\text{He} + {}^4\text{He} \quad Q_m = -8.157$$

$$(d) {}^{10}\text{B}(\gamma, p){}^9\text{Be} \quad Q_m = -6.585$$

In the range $E_\gamma = 10$ to 30 MeV, reaction (a) proceeds almost entirely through excited states of ${}^8\text{Be}$: transitions via $(\alpha + d)$ -emitting states of ${}^6\text{Li}$ apparently do not occur. Reaction (b) is said

to proceed via the ground state and γ -emitting states of ${}^6\text{Li}$ at 1.1 and 2.2 MeV (1953ER1A) (there is no evidence for a state at 1.1 MeV in any other reaction: see (1952AJ38) and (1955AJ61)). For reaction (d) see (1956GO1F, 1956GO1G, 1957BA1H).

15. ${}^{10}\text{B}(n, n'){}^{10}\text{B}^*$

At $E_n = 2.56$ MeV, a 717 ± 7 keV γ -ray is observed (1956DA23).

16. ${}^{10}\text{B}(p, p'){}^{10}\text{B}^*$

The first seven excited states have been accurately located by inelastic scattering: see Table 10.7 (1953BO70, 1953BR1A). The energy of the first state is given as 717 ± 5 keV (1953BO70), 719 ± 1.6 keV (1952CR30) and 718 ± 5 keV (1954DA20: γ -radiation). The mean life of this state is $(10.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-10}$ sec (1957BL02), $(9.0 \pm 1) \times 10^{-10}$ sec (1958HO97). This lifetime is about a factor of 10 shorter than that calculated on the shell model with reasonable values of the radius and intermediate coupling parameter (1957BL02: see, however, (1957FR1B, 1957KU58); see also ${}^9\text{Be}(d, n){}^{10}\text{B}$).

At $E_p > 3$ MeV, gamma rays of energy 710 ± 15 , 1023 ± 5 , 1438 ± 5 , and 2120 ± 60 keV are observed (1957HU79, 1957MC35). An upper limit of 7% is found for direct transitions from ${}^{10}\text{B}^*(1.74 \rightarrow \text{g.s.})$ (1957MC35). At $E_p > 4.5$ MeV, additional radiations at 2860 ± 10 and 3560 ± 50 keV (Doppler corrected) are observed, with intensity ratio 2.9. Shell model calculations would predict a ratio of 0.67 to 0.5 (1957MC35: see also (1957KU58)).

17. ${}^{10}\text{B}(d, d'){}^{10}\text{B}^*$

Deuteron groups corresponding to the ground state and to states at 0.7, 2.1, and 3.6 MeV are reported by (1953BO70): see Table 10.7. The absence of deuteron groups corresponding to the 1.74-MeV state is strong evidence of its $T = 1$ character.

18. ${}^{10}\text{B}(\alpha, \alpha'){}^{10}\text{B}^*$

See (1956BO25).

19. ${}^{10}\text{C}(\beta^+){}^{10}\text{B}$

$$Q_m = 3.78$$

The half-life is 19.1 ± 0.8 sec (1949SH25); $E_{\beta^+}(\text{max}) = 2.2 \pm 0.1$ MeV. The β^+ -decay is to the first two excited states of ^{10}B : relative transition probabilities to the 0.72-, 1.74- and 2.15-MeV levels are $98.4/1.65 \pm 2 / < 0.1$ (1953SH38): $\log ft$ using $E_{\beta}(\text{max}) = 2.04$ and 1.02 MeV (from Q_m above) are 3.2 and 3.7. The gamma decay of the first two excited states of ^{10}B is observed: $E_{\gamma} = 723 \pm 15$ and 1033 ± 30 keV (1953SH38). See also (1953KO1B), (1958GE33) and (1957FR1B).

$$20. \ ^{11}\text{B}(\gamma, n)^{10}\text{B} \quad Q_m = -11.464$$

See (1951SH63).

$$21. \ ^{11}\text{B}(p, d)^{10}\text{B} \quad Q_m = -9.237$$

At $E_p = 18.9$ MeV, the ground state deuteron angular distributions indicate $l_n = 1$ (1956RE04).

$$\begin{aligned} 22. \text{ (a) } \ ^{11}\text{B}(d, t)^{10}\text{B} & \quad Q_m = -5.205 \\ \text{ (b) } \ ^{11}\text{B}(^3\text{He}, \alpha)^{10}\text{B} & \quad Q_m = 9.114 \\ \text{ (c) } \ ^{12}\text{C}(n, t)^{10}\text{B} & \quad Q_m = -18.937 \\ \text{ (c) } \ ^{12}\text{C}(p, ^3\text{He})^{10}\text{B} & \quad Q_m = -19.702 \end{aligned}$$

These reactions have not been reported.

$$\begin{aligned} 23. \ ^{12}\text{C}(d, \alpha)^{10}\text{B} & \quad Q_m = -1.351 \\ & \quad Q_0 = -1.39 \pm 0.02 \text{ (1957EL12)}. \end{aligned}$$

At $E_d = 8.9$ MeV, α -groups have been observed corresponding to the ground state of ^{10}B and to excited states at 0.72 ± 0.02 and 2.14 ± 0.02 MeV. No α -group was observed to the $T = 1$, 1.74-MeV state (1957EL12). See also (1951AS1A) and (1953SP1A).

$$24. \ ^{13}\text{C}(p, \alpha)^{10}\text{B} \quad Q_m = -4.070$$

Not reported.

Table 10.8: Energy levels of ^{10}C

E_x in ^{10}C (MeV)	J^π	Γ or $\tau_{1/2}$	Decay	Reactions
0	(0^+)	19.1 ± 0.8 sec	β^+	1, 2
3.34 ± 0.2				2
(5.1)		broad or unresolved		2

25. $^{14}\text{N}(\gamma, \alpha)^{10}\text{B}$

$$Q_m = -11.615$$

See (1953MI31), (CO54I) and (1956LI05).

Arguments supporting the J^π assignments for the first five excited states of ^{10}B are presented in reaction 20 in ^{10}B in (1955AJ61). The assignment of the 4.77-MeV state now appears to be $J = 2^+$ (see $^6\text{Li}(\alpha, \gamma)^{10}\text{B}$).

^{10}C
(Fig. 15)

Mass of ^{10}C : The mass difference $^{10}\text{C}-^{10}\text{B}$ is given as 3.84 ± 0.1 MeV from β -end-point measurements (1953SH38), and as 3.57 ± 0.2 MeV (1954AJ32) from the $^{10}\text{B}(\text{p}, \text{n})^{10}\text{C}$ Q -value. The weighted mean of these two results yields a mass excess for ^{10}C of 18.79 ± 0.09 MeV, using the Wapstra (1955WA1A) value for the mass of ^{10}B .

1. $^{10}\text{C}(\beta^+)^{10}\text{B}$

$$Q_m = 3.78$$

The decay is complex. See ^{10}B .

2. $^{10}\text{B}(\text{p}, \text{n})^{10}\text{C}$

$$Q_m = -4.56$$

At $E_p = 17.2$ MeV, neutron groups are observed corresponding to the ground state ($Q_0 = -4.35 \pm 0.2$ MeV), to an excited state at 3.34 ± 0.2 MeV, and possibly to wide or unresolved levels at $E_x \approx 5.1$ MeV (1954AJ32). The slow neutron threshold for the ground state of ^{10}C has not been observed up to $E_p = 6$ MeV in preliminary measurements by (1955BA22). The neutron threshold measurement reported in (1955AJ61) has been withdrawn: (T.W. Bonner, private communication).

Two other reactions leading to ^{10}C have not been observed: $^{10}\text{B}(\text{}^3\text{He}, \text{t})^{10}\text{C}$ ($Q_m = -3.80$) and $^{12}\text{C}(\text{p}, \text{t})^{10}\text{C}$ ($Q_m = -23.50$).

References

(Closed December 01, 1958)

References are arranged and designated by the year of publication followed by the first two letters of the first-mentioned author's name and then by two additional characters. Most of the references appear in the National Nuclear Data Center files (Nuclear Science References Database) and have NNDC key numbers. Otherwise, TUNL key numbers were assigned with the last two characters of the form 1A, 1B, etc. In response to many requests for more informative citations, we have, when possible, included up to ten authors per paper and added the authors' initials.

- 1947AL1A Allen, Burcham and Wilkinson, Proc. Roy. Soc. A192 (1947) 114
- 1948EG1A Egger, Hughes and Huddleston, Phys. Rev. 74 (1948) 1238
- 1949DE1A Devons and Hine, Proc. Roy. Soc. A199 (1949) 56, 73
- 1949HU19 D.J. Hughes, C. Egger and C.M. Huddleston, Phys. Rev. 75 (1949) 515
- 1949RA02 V. K. Rasmussen, W.F. Hornyak and T. Lauritsen, Phys. Rev. 76 (1949) 581
- 1949RA1B Rasmussen, Lauritsen and Lauritsen, Phys. Rev. 75 (1949) 199
- 1949SH25 R. Sherr, H.R. Muether and M.G. White, Phys. Rev. 75 (1949) 282
- 1949TH05 R.G. Thomas, S. Rubin, W.A. Fowler and C.C. Lauritsen, Phys. Rev. 75 (1949) 1612
- 1950HO1A W.F. Hornyak, T. Lauritsen, P. Morrison and W.A. Fowler, Revs. Mod. Phys. 22 (1950) 291
- 1950WU1A Wu, Revs. Mod. Phys. 22 (1950) 386
- 1951AJ1A Ajzenberg, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 43
- 1951AL1B Alburger, Phys. Rev. 83 (1951) 184
- 1951AS1A Ashmore and Raffle, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A64 (1951) 754
- 1951BO45 C.K. Bockelman, D.W. Miller, R.K. Adair and H.H. Barschall, Phys. Rev. 84 (1951) 69
- 1951CR1A Crews, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 100
- 1951FE1A A.M. Feingold, Revs. Mod. Phys. 23 (1951) 10
- 1951LI26 C.W. Li, W. Whaling, W.A. Fowler and C.C. Lauritsen, Phys. Rev. 83 (1951) 512
- 1951NE03 J.A. Neuendorffer, D.R. Inglis and S.S. Hanna, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 75
- 1951SH63 R. Sher, J. Halpern and A.K. Mann, Phys. Rev. 84 (1951) 387
- 1952AG1A Agnew, Los Alamos Rept. 1371 (1952)
- 1952AJ22 F. Ajzenberg, Phys. Rev. 88 (1952) 298
- 1952AJ38 F. Ajzenberg and T. Lauritsen, Revs. Mod. Phys. 24 (1952) 321
- 1952BR52 R. Britten, Phys. Rev. 88 (1952) 283

1952CO1B J.H. Coon, E.R. Graves and H.H. Barschall, Phys. Rev. 88 (1952) 562
 1952CR30 D.S. Craig, D.J. Donahue and K.W. Jones, Phys. Rev. 88 (1952) 808
 1952DE1B Dewan, Pepper, Allen and Almqvist, Phys. Rev. 86 (1952) 416
 1952HA10 T.M. Hahn, C.W. Snyder, H.B. Willard, J.K. Bair, E.D. Klema, J.D. Kington and F.P. Green, Phys. Rev. 85 (1952) 934
 1952PA1A Palevsky and Smith, Phys. Rev. 86 (1952) 604A
 1952PR1A Pruitt, Hanna and Swartz, Phys. Rev. 87 (1952) 534
 1953BA04 M.E. Battat and F.L. Ribe, Phys. Rev. 89 (1953) 80
 1953BA18 G.A. Bartholomew and B.B. Kinsey, Can. J. Phys. 31 (1953) 49
 1953BO70 C.K. Bockelman, C.P. Browne, W.W. Buechner and A. Sperduto, Phys. Rev. 92 (1953) 665
 1953BR1A Browne and Bockelman, M.I.T. Prog. Rept. (May, 1953)
 1953CH1A Christy, Phys. Rev. 89 (1953) 839
 1953DY1A Dyer and Bird, Aust. J. Phys. 6 (1953) 45
 1953ER1A Erdos, Scherrer and Stoll, Helv. Phys. Acta 26 (1953) 207
 1953HO1C Hornyak and Coor, Phys. Rev. 92 (1953) 675
 1953KO1B Kofoed-Hansen, Phys. Rev. 92 (1953) 1075
 1953MA1A Mackin, Thesis, CalTech (1953)
 1953MA1C Mamasakhlisov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 25 (1953) 36
 1953ME1A Meier and Ricamo, Helv. Phys. Acta 26 (1953) 430
 1953MI31 C.H. Millar and A.G.W. Cameron, Can. J. Phys. 31 (1953) 723
 1953NE1A Nereson and Darden, Phys. Rev. 89 (1953) 775
 1953PA22 E.B. Paul and H.E. Gove, Proc. Roy. Soc. Canada 47 (1953) 145A
 1953PR1A Pruitt, Hanna and Swartz, Phys. Rev. 92 (1953) 1456
 1953SA1A Sawyer and Phillips, Los Alamos Rept.1578 (1953)
 1953SH38 R. Sherr and J.B. Gerhart, Phys. Rev. 91 (1953) 909
 1953SP1A Sperduto and Fader, M.I.T. Prog. Rept. (May, 1953)
 1953TH14 J. Thirion and V.L. Telegdi, Phy. Rev. 92 (1953) 1253
 1953WI1B Wilkinson, Phys. Rev. 90 (1953) 721
 1953WI1C Wilkinson, Phil. Mag. 44 (1953) 1019
 1953WI32 D.H. Wilkinson and G.A. Jones, Phys. Rev. 91 (1953) 1575
 1953WR1A Wright, UCRL 2422 (1953)

1954AJ32 F. Ajzenberg and W. Franzen, Phys. Rev. 95 (1954) 1531
1954AL38 E. Almqvist, T.P. Pepper and P. Lorrain, Can. J. Phys. 32 (1954) 621
1954BO79 T.W. Bonner and C.F. Cook, Phys. Rev. 96 (1954) 122
1954CO02 B.L. Cohen and T.H. Handley, Phys. Rev. 93 (1954) 514
1954CO16 C.F. Cook and T.W. Bonner, Phys. Rev. 94 (1954) 651
1954DA20 R.B. Day and T. Huus, Phys. Rev. 95 (1954) 1003
1954DE1D Devons and Goldring, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A67 (1954) 413
1954EB02 F.S. Eby, Phys. Rev. 96 (1954) 1355
1954FU1A Fujimoto, Kikuchi and Yoshida, Prog. Teor. Phys. 11 (1954) 264
1954JO09 G.A. Jones and D.H. Wilkinson, Phil. Mag. 45 (1954) 703
1954JU1C Jung, Bockelman and Buechner, J. Phys. Rad. 15 (1954) 50S
1954JU23 J.J. Jung and C.K. Bockelman, Phys. Rev. 96 (1954) 1353
1954MA1C Malm and Inglis, Phys. Rev. 95 (1954) 993
1954MA26 R.J. Mackin, Jr., Phys. Rev. 94 (1954) 648
1954RE1A Reid, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A67 (1954) 466
1954SH1A Shafroth and Hanna, Phys. Rev. 95 (1954) 86
1955AJ61 F. Ajzenberg and T. Lauritsen, Revs. Mod. Phys. 27 (1955) 77
1955BA22 J.K. Bair, J.D. Kington and H.B. Willard, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955) 21
1955BE1D Beyster, Henkel and Nobles, Phys. Rev. 97 (1955) 563
1955BE1F Beyster, Henkel, Nobles and Kister, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 1216
1955BE81 R.D. Bent, T.W. Bonner, J.H. McCrary, W.A. Ranken and R.F. Sippel, Phys. Rev. 99 (1955) 710
1955CA25 R.R. Carlson and E.B. Nelson, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 1310
1955FO1B Fowler, Hanna and Owen, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 249A
1955FR1F French, Halbert and Pandya, Phys. Rev. 99 (1955) 1387
1955GE1B Genin, Compt. Rend. 240 (1955) 2514
1955GR12 G.W. Greenlees, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A68 (1955) 97
1955GR1D Green, Scanlon and Willmott, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A68 (1955) 386
1955GR1E Groshev, Adyasevich and Demidov, U. N. A/Conf. 8/P/651 (1955)
1955HI1B Hintz, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955) 1794A
1955HU1B Hughes and Harvey, BNL-325 (1955)
1955JA18 D.B. James, W. Kubelka, S.A. Heiberg and J.B. Warren, Can. J. Phys. 33 (1955) 219

1955JU10 M.K. Juric, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 85
1955JU1B Juric, Bull. Inst. Nucl. Sci. Boris Kidrich 5 (1955) 7
1955KI1B Kington, Bair, Cohn and Willard, ORNL 1975 (1955)
1955LO1A Lonsjo, Os and Tangen, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 727
1955MA1G MacGregor, Ball and Booth, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955) 1793A
1955MA84 J.B. Marion, T.W. Bonner and C.F. Cook, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955) 91
1955SA1E Sattar, Morgan and Hudspeth, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955) 960A
1955TA1B Taylor, Lonsjo and Bonner, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955) 174
1955WA1A Wapstra, Physica 21 (1955) 367
1955WA27 M. Walt and J.R. Beyster, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 677
1955WI25 H.B. Willard, J.K. Bair and J.D. Kington, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 669
1956BA1F Banta, Gibbons, Good and Neiler, ORNL 2076 (1956)
1956BE1D Becker and Barschall, Phys. Rev. 102 (1956) 1384
1956BE32 J.R. Beyster, M. Walt and E.W. Salmi, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 1319
1956BO1F Bogdanov, Vlasov, Kalinin, Rybakov and Sidorov, Physica 22 (1956) 1150
1956BO25 T.W. Bonner, A.A. Kraus, Jr., J.B. Marion and J.P. Schiffer, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 1 (1956) 94, M6
1956BO43 G.F. Bogdanov, N.A. Vlasov, S.P. Kalinin, B.V. Rybakov and V.A. Sidorov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30 (1956) 981; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 3 (1956) 793
1956CA1D Calvert, Jaffe and Maslin, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 501
1956CL69 A.B. Clegg, Phil. Mag. 1 (1956) 1116
1956DA03 I.E. Dayton and G. Schrank, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 1358
1956DA23 R.B. Day, Phys. Rev. 102 (1956) 767
1956DE1D De Pangher, HW-47284 (1956)
1956DE33 G. Dearnaley, Phil. Mag. 1 (1956) 821
1956ED15 R.D. Edge, Aust. J. Phys. 9 (1956) 429
1956FL1B Flerov and Talyzin, Sov. J. Nucl. Energy 4 (1956) 617
1956GE1A Gelinas and Hanna, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 1681
1956GO1F Goldanskii, Physica 22 (1956) 1143A
1956GO1G Goldanskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30 (1956) 969; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 3 (1956) 791
1956GO1H Good, Neiler and Gibbons, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 1 (1956) 71
1956GR1D Grechukhin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 31 (1956) 895; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 4 (1957) 759

1956GR37 T.S. Green and R. Middleton, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A69 (1956) 28
 1956HE1D Hereford, McCormac, Steuer and Bond, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 1 (1956) 339
 1956HU1B Hunt, Petrie, Firth and Trott, Proc. Inst. Elec. Engrs. 103 (1955) 146
 1956JU1E Juric, Physica 22 (1956) 1154A
 1956KI54 B.B. Kinsey and T. Stone, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 975
 1956KL55 A.P. Kliucharev, L.I. Bolotin and V.A. Lutsik, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30 (1956) 573;
 JETP (Sov. Phys.) 3 (1956) 463
 1956KU1A Kurath, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 216
 1956LA1B Lane and Monahan, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 1 (1956) 187
 1956LA1C Langsdorf, Lane and Monahan, ANL 5567 (1956)
 1956LI05 D.L. Livesey, Can. J. Phys. 34 (1956) 216
 1956MA09 D. Magnac-Valette, Compt. Rend. 242 (1956) 760
 1956MA1N Mamasakhlisov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 31 (1956) 652
 1956MA55 J.B. Marion, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 713
 1956MO90 F.S. Mozer, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 1386
 1956RA32 S.W. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 186
 1956RE04 J.B. Reynolds and K.G. Standing, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 158
 1956RO06 A.B. Robbins, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 1373
 1956SA1E Sachs, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 671
 1956SE08 J.C. Severiens and S.S. Hanna, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 1612
 1956SH94 S.M. Shafroth and S.S. Hanna, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 399
 1956TU1A Tulinov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 31 (1956) 698; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 4 (1957) 596
 1956VA17 F.P.G. Valckx, Thesis, Univ. of Utrecht (1956)
 1956WA29 H.J. Watters, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 1763
 1956WE37 G. Weber, L.W. Davis and J.B. Marion, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 1307
 1956WI16 D.H. Wilkinson and A.R. Clegg, Phil. Mag. 1 (1956) 291
 1956ZE1A Zeidman and Fowler, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 1 (1956) 325
 1957AN52 J.D. Anderson, C.C. Gardner, M.P. Nakada and C. Wong, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 2
 (1957) 233, X6
 1957BA1H Barker, Phil. Mag. 2 (1957) 780
 1957BA1J Barker, Phil. Mag. 2 (1957) 286
 1957BI75 G.R. Bishop and J.C. Bizot, J. Phys. Rad. 18 (1957) 434

1957BI84 H. Bichsel and T.W. Bonner, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 1025
 1957BL02 S.D. Bloom, C.M. Turner and D.H. Wilkinson, Phys. Rev. 105 (1957) 232
 1957BO13 R.O. Bondelid, K.L. Dunning and F.L. Talbott, Phys. Rev. 105 (1957) 193
 1957CO54 S.A. Cox and R.M. Williamson, Phys. Rev. 105 (1957) 1799
 1957DU1B Dubovsky, Kamaev and Makarov, Sov. J. At. Energy 2 (1957) 340
 1957EL12 F.A. El Bedewi and I. Hussein, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A70 (1957) 233
 1957FE1B Ferguson, Gove, Litherland, Almqvist and Bromley, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 2 (1957) 51
 1957FI1B Fischer, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 99
 1957FO1B Fowler and Cohn, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 2 (1957) 32; ORNL 2430 (1957)
 1957FR1B French and Fujii, Phys. Rev. 105 (1957) 652
 1957GR1A Grismore and Parkinson, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 28 (1957) 245
 1957GR1D Groshev and Demidov, Sov. J. At. Energy 3 (1957) 853
 1957HA1F Harmon and Curtis, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 2 (1957) 350
 1957HU14 P. Huber and R. Wagner, Helv. Phys. Acta 30 (1957) 257
 1957HU1D Hughes and Schwartz, BNL-325, Suppl. 1 (1957)
 1957HU79 S.E. Hunt, R.A. Pope and W.W. Evans, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 1012
 1957JA37 N. Jarmie, J.D. Seagrave et al, LA-2014 (1957)
 1957JU1A Juric, Bull. Inst. Nucl. Sci. Boris Kidrich 7 (1957) 1
 1957KH1A Khaletskii, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 113 (1957) 305; Sov. Phys., Dokl. 2 (1958) 129
 1957KU58 D. Kurath, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 975
 1957LA14 A. Langsdorf, Jr., R.O. Lane and J.E. Monahan, Phys. Rev. 107 (1957) 1077
 1957MC1B McCormac, Steuer, Bond and Hereford, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 116
 1957MC35 J.H. McCrary, T.W. Bonner and W.A. Ranken, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 392
 1957ME27 L. Meyer-Schutzmeister and S.S. Hanna, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 1506
 1957MU1D Murray, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 2 (1957) 267
 1957NE1B Nemilov and Pisarevskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 32 (1957) 139; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 5 (1957) 115
 1957NE1C Neiler, Gibbons and Good, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 2 (1957) 286
 1957RO1D Rosen and Stewart, Phys. Rev. 107 (1957) 824
 1957SH65 A.I. Shpetni, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 32 (1957) 423; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 5 (1957) 357
 1957SM1A Smither, Phys. Rev. 107 (1957) 196

1957ST1C Stewart and Rosen, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 2 (1957) 33
 1957ST1D Stafford, Tornabene and Whitehead, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 831
 1957ST95 P.H. Stelson and E.C. Campbell, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 1252
 1957VA12 S.S. Vasilev, V.V. Komarov and A.M. Popova, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 33 (1957) 527;
 JETP (Sov. Phys.) 6 (1958) 411
 1957VA1D Vasil'ev, Komarov and Popova, Sov. J. At. Energy, Suppl. 5 (1957) 69
 1957WA07 H. Warhanek, Phil. Mag. 2 (1957) 1085
 1957ZA1A Zabel, WASH 192 (1957)
 1958AN32 J.D. Anderson, C.C. Gardner, J.W. McClure, M.P. Nakada and C. Wong, Phys. Rev.
 111 (1958) 572
 1958AS63 V.J. Ashby, H.C. Catron, L.L. Newkirk and C.J. Taylor, Phys. Rev. 111 (1958) 616
 1958BA03 W.P. Ball, M. MacGregor and R. Booth, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 1392
 1958BE03 R.E. Benenson and M.B. Shurman, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 29 (1958) 1
 1958BE1E Berlin and Owen, Nucl. Phys. 5 (1958) 669
 1958BR16 A. Bratenahl, J.M. Peterson and J.P. Steering, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 927
 1958BR24 K.W. Brockman, Jr., Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 163
 1958CA12 J.R. Cameron, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 3 (1958) 187, K6
 1958CH1A Chevallier, Thesis, Univ. of Strasbourg (1958)
 1958DA11 W.K. Dawson, G.C. Neilson and J.T. Sample, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 3 (1958) 323,
 H12
 1958ED16 R.D. Edge and D.S. Gemmell, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A71 (1958) 925
 1958FO46 J.L. Fowler and H.O. Cohn, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 3 (1958) 305, O4
 1958FR1C French, Univ. of Pittsburgh Tech. Rept. 9 (1958)
 1958GE04 J. Genin, Compt. Rend. 246 (1958) 1028
 1958GE33 J.B. Gerhart, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 897
 1958GO47 S. Gorodetzky and A. Knipper, J. Phys. Rad. 19 (1958) 83
 1958HI74 B. Hird, J.A. Cookson and M.S. Bokhari, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A72 (1958) 489
 1958HO1C Howerton, UCRL 5226 (1958)
 1958HO97 R.E. Holland, F.J. Lynch and S.S. Hanna, Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 903
 1958HU18 D.J. Hughes and R.B. Schwartz, BNL-325, 2nd Ed. (1958); BNL-325, 2nd Ed., Suppl.
 I (1960)
 1958KN1B Knipper, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Strasbourg (1958)
 1958KU1C Kurath, Proc. Rehovoth Conf. (North-Holland, 1958)

1958MA1B Th.A.J. Maris, P. Hillman and H. Tyren, Nucl. Phys. 7 (1958) 1
 1958MA1F Macklin and Gibbons, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 3 (1958) 26
 1958MA22 J.B. Marion, J.S. Levin and L. Cranberg, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 3 (1958) 165, B5
 1958MA54 M.H. MacGregor, W.P. Ball and R. Booth, Phys. Rev. 111 (1958) 1155
 1958ME81 W.E. Meyerhof and L.F. Chase, Jr., Phys. Rev. 111 (1958) 1348
 1958MI93 P.D. Miller and G.C. Phillips, Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 2043
 1958MO17 G. Morpurgo, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 721
 1958NA09 M.P. Nakada, J.D. Anderson, C.C. Gardner and C. Wong, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 1439
 1958NE38 G.C. Neilson, W.K. Dawson and J.T. Sample, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 3 (1958) 323, H11
 1958PA1C Parkinson and Hough, Univ. of Michigan Cyclotron, Prog. Rept. (July, 1958)
 1958SA17 J.T. Sample, W.K. Dawson and G.C. Neilson, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 3 (1958) 323, H13
 1958TA03 Y.-K. Tai, G.P. Millburn, S.N. Kaplan and B.J. Moyer, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 2086
 1958TY49 H. Tyren, P. Hillman and T.A.J. Marris, Nucl. Phys. 7 (1958) 10
 1958WA05 R. Wagner and P. Huber, Helv. Phys. Acta 31 (1958) 89
 1958WY67 M.E. Wyman, E.M. Fryer and M.M. Thorpe, Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 1264
 1959GI47 J.H. Gibbons and R.L. Macklin, Phys. Rev. 114 (1959) 571
 1959KO1B Kohler, Ph.D. Thesis, CalTech (1959)
 1959MA20 J.B. Marion and J.S. Levin, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959) 144
 1959ME1C Meyerhof and Tanner, (1959)
 1959NE1A Neilson, Dawson and Johnson, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 30 (1959) 963
 1959WA16 E.K. Warburton, Phys. Rev. 113 (1959) 595
 CO54I Unknown Source
 HU55 Unknown Source
 MC58C Unknown Source